# New Zealand Equivalent to IFRIC Interpretation 8 Scope of NZ IFRS 2 (NZ IFRIC 8)

Issued February 2006 and incorporates amendments up to November 2008

This Interpretation was issued by the Financial Reporting Standards Board of the New Zealand Institute of Chartered Accountants and approved by the Accounting Standards Review Board in February 2006 under the Financial Reporting Act 1993. This Interpretation is a Regulation for the purpose of the Regulations (Disallowance) Act 1989.

1

## © Crown copyright 2006

This ASRB standard contains IFRS Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

On 1 July 2011, the ASRB was reconstituted as the External Reporting Board (XRB). The content, application and legal status of this standard is unaffected by this change. However, requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

All rights in this material outside of New Zealand are reserved by the IFRS Foundation. Reproduction of ASRB/XRB standards outside of New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside New Zealand should be addressed to the IFRS Foundation.

ISBN 1-877430-58-7

## **Contents**

## New Zealand Equivalent to IFRIC Interpretation 8 Scope of NZ IFRS 2 (NZ IFRIC 8)

	Paragraphs
HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS	
BACKGROUND	1 - 5
SCOPE	6
ISSUES	7
CONSENSUS	8 - 12
EFFECTIVE DATE	13
TRANSITION	14

## **APPENDIX**

Amendments to the Framework for Differential Reporting for Entities Applying the New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards Reporting Regime

IFRIC ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE IFRIC BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS

## HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

## Table of Pronouncements - NZ IFRIC 8 Scope of NZ IFRS 2

This table lists the pronouncements establishing and amending NZ IFRIC 8. The table is based on amendments approved as at 30 November 2008.

Pronouncements	Date approved (ASRB approval)	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods on or after)
NZ IFRIC 8 Scope of NZ IFRS 2	Feb 2006 (Approval 80)	1 May 2006 Early application encouraged	1 Jan 2007

New Zealand Equivalent to IFRIC Interpretation 8 Scope of NZ IFRS 2 (NZ IFRIC 8) is set out in paragraphs 1-14.

NZ IFRIC 8 is based on IFRIC 8 *Scope of IFRS 2* (IFRIC 8). NZ IFRIC 8 should be read in the context of the IFRIC's Basis for Conclusions on IFRIC 8 and the Illustrative Example for IFRIC 8. The scope and authority of Interpretations are set out in paragraphs 2 and 7-17 of the *Preface to International Financial Reporting Standards* and paragraph 13 of the New Zealand *Preface*.

## **Differential Reporting**

Qualifying entities must comply with all the provisions in NZ IFRIC 8.

# New Zealand Equivalent to IFRIC Interpretation 8 Scope of NZ IFRS 2 (NZ IFRIC 8)

#### References

- NZ IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors
- NZ IFRS 2 Share-based Payment

#### BACKGROUND

- NZ IFRS 2 applies to share-based payment transactions in which the entity receives or acquires goods or services. 'Goods' includes inventories, consumables, property, plant and equipment, intangible assets and other non-financial assets (NZ IFRS 2, paragraph 5). Consequently, except for particular transactions excluded from its scope, NZ IFRS 2 applies to all transactions in which the entity receives non-financial assets or services as consideration for the issue of equity instruments of the entity. NZ IFRS 2 also applies to transactions in which the entity incurs liabilities, in respect of goods and services received, that are based on the price (or value) of the entity's shares or other equity instruments of the entity.
- In some cases, however it might be difficult to demonstrate that goods or services have been (or will be) received. For example, an entity may grant shares to a charitable organisation for nil consideration. It is usually not possible to identify the specific goods or services received in return for such a transaction. A similar situation might arise in transactions with other parties.
- NZ IFRS 2 requires transactions in which share-based payments are to be made to employees to be measured by reference to the fair value of the share-based payments at grant date (NZ IFRS 2, paragraph 11).\* Hence, the entity is not required to measure directly the fair value of the employee services received.
- For transactions in which share-based payments are made to parties other than employees, NZ IFRS 2 specifies a rebuttable presumption that the fair value of the goods or services received can be estimated reliably. In these situations, NZ IFRS 2 requires the transaction to be measured at the fair value of the goods and services at the date the entity obtains the goods or the counterparty renders service (NZ IFRS 2, paragraph 13). Hence, there is an underlying presumption that the entity is able to identify the goods or services received from parties other than employees. This raises the question of whether the NZ IFRS applies in the absence of identifiable goods or services. That in turn raises a further question: if the entity has made a share-based payment and the identifiable consideration received (if any) appears to be less than the fair value of the share-based payment, does this situation indicate that goods or

\*

<sup>\*</sup> Under NZ IFRS 2, all references to employees include others providing similar services.

- services have been received, even though they are not specifically identified, and therefore that NZ IFRS 2 applies?
- It should be noted that the phrase 'the fair value of the share-based payment' refers to the fair value of the particular share-based payment concerned. For example, an entity might be required by government legislation to issue some portion of its shares to nationals of a particular country, which may be transferred only to other nationals of that country. Such a transfer restriction may affect the fair value of the shares concerned, and therefore those shares may have a fair value that is less than the fair value of otherwise identical shares that do not carry such restrictions. In this situation, if the question in paragraph 4 were to arise in the context of the restricted shares, the phrase 'the fair value of the share-based payment' would refer to the fair value of the restricted shares, not the fair-value of other, unrestricted shares.

#### **SCOPE**

NZ IFRS 2 applies to transactions in which an entity or an entity's shareholders have granted equity instruments\* or incurred a liability to transfer cash or other assets for amounts that are based on the price (or value) of the entity's shares or other equity instruments of the entity. This Interpretation applies to such transactions when the identifiable consideration received (or to be received) by the entity, including cash and the fair value of identifiable non-cash consideration (if any), appears to be less than the fair value of the equity instruments granted or liability incurred. However, this Interpretation does not apply to transactions excluded from the scope of NZ IFRS 2 in accordance with paragraphs 3-6 of that NZ IFRS.

## **ISSUE**

7 The issue addressed in the interpretation is whether NZ IFRS 2 applies to transactions in which the entity cannot identify specifically some or all of the goods or services received.

## **CONSENSUS**

- 8 NZ IFRS 2 applies to particular transactions in which goods or services are received, such as transactions in which an entity receives goods or services as consideration for equity instruments of the entity. This includes transactions in which the entity cannot identify specifically some or all of the goods or services received.
- In the absence of specifically identifiable goods or services, other circumstances may indicate that goods or services have been (or will be) received, in which case NZ IFRS 2 applies. In particular, if the identifiable consideration received (if any) appears to be less than the fair value of the equity instruments granted or liability incurred, typically this circumstance indicates that other consideration (ie unidentifiable goods or services) has been (or will be) received.

7

\_

<sup>\*</sup> These include equity instruments of the entity, the entity's parent and other entities in the same group as the entity.

- The entity shall measure the identifiable goods or services received in accordance with NZ IFRS 2.
- 11 The entity shall measure the unidentifiable goods or services received (or to be received) as the difference between the fair value of the share-based payment and the fair value of any identifiable goods or services received (or to be received).
- 12 The entity shall measure the unidentifiable goods or services received at the grant date. However, for cash-settled transactions, the liability shall be remeasured at each reporting date until it is settled.

## **EFFECTIVE DATE**

13 This Interpretation becomes operative for an entity's financial statements that cover annual accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2007. For entities which elect to comply with NZ IFRS 1 First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards for an annual accounting period beginning on or after 1 January 2005 and before 1 January 2007, this Interpretation becomes operative for annual accounting periods beginning on or after 1 May 2006. Early application is encouraged. If an entity applies this Interpretation to a period beginning before 1 May 2006, it shall disclose that fact.

## **TRANSITION**

An entity shall apply this Interpretation retrospectively in accordance with the requirements of NZ IAS 8, subject to the transitional provisions of NZ IFRS 2.

## **APPENDIX**

Amendments to the Framework for Differential Reporting for Entities Applying the New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards Reporting Regime

Entities shall apply the amendments in this appendix for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2007. When an entity complies with NZ IFRS 1 First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards for an annual accounting period beginning on or after 1 January 2005 and before 1 January 2007, the entity shall apply the amendments for annual accounting periods beginning on or after 1 May 2006. If an entity applies this Interpretation for an earlier period, the amendments shall be applied for that earlier period.

\*\*\*\*

The amendments contained in this appendix have been incorporated into the relevant pronouncements published in this volume.

## IFRIC ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

[This example accompanies but is not part of NZ IFRIC 8.]

## IFRIC BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS

BC1-BC13. [Paragraphs BC1-BC13 do not form part of NZ IFRIC 8]

## IFRIC 8