

# **New Zealand Equivalent to International Financial Reporting Standard 6 (Diff Rep)**

# **Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources** (NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep))

#### **Issued November 2012**

This Standard was issued by the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board of the External Reporting Board pursuant to section 24(1)(a) of the Financial Reporting Act 1993.

This Standard is a Regulation for the purposes of the Regulations (Disallowance) Act 1989.

As at 1 December 2012 the requirements in this Standard are identical to the requirements in NZ IFRS 6 *Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources* as applied by qualifying entities. Versions of NZ IFRS 6 applied by qualifying entities prior to adoption of this Interpretation are available in the Archived Standards page of the External Reporting Board (XRB) website at xrb.govt.nz

#### **COPYRIGHT**

© External Reporting Board ("XRB") 2012

This XRB standard contains International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") Foundation copyright material. Reproduction within New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: <a href="mailto:enquiries@xrb.govt.nz">enquiries@xrb.govt.nz</a>

All existing rights (including copyrights) in this material outside of New Zealand are reserved by the IFRS Foundation. Reproduction of XRB standards outside of New Zealand in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only. Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce for commercial purposes outside New Zealand should be addressed to the IFRS Foundation.

ISBN 978-1-927237-95-3

#### CONTENTS

# NEW ZEALAND EQUIVALENT TO INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARD 6 (Diff Rep) EXPLORATION FOR AND EVALUATION OF MINERAL RESOURCES (NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep))

	Paragraphs
HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS	
INTRODUCTION TO NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep)	
OBJECTIVE	1–2
SCOPE	NZ 2.1-5
RECOGNITION OF EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS	6–7
Temporary exemption from NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep) paragraphs 11 and 12	6–7
MEASUREMENT OF EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION	
ASSETS	8–14
Measurement at recognition	8
Elements of cost of exploration and evaluation assets	9–11
Measurement after recognition	12
Changes in accounting policies	13–14
PRESENTATION	15–17
Classification of exploration and evaluation assets	15–16
Reclassification of exploration and evaluation assets	17
IMPAIRMENT	18–22
Recognition and measurement	18–20
Specifying the level at which exploration and evaluation assets	
are assessed for impairment	21–22
DISCLOSURE	23–25
EFFECTIVE DATE	26-NZ 26.1
TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS	47
APPENDICES	
A Defined terms	
APPROVAL BY THE IASB OF IFRS 6 ISSUED IN DECEMBER 2004	
APPROVAL BY THE IASB OF AMENDMENTS TO IFRS 1 AND IFRS ISSUED IN JUNE 2005	6
IASB BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS	
IASR DISSENTING OPINIONS	

New Zealand Equivalent to International Financial Reporting Standard 6 (Diff Rep) Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources (NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep)) is set out in paragraphs 1–27 and Appendix A. NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep) is based on International Financial Reporting Standard 6 Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources (IFRS 6) (2004) issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). All the paragraphs have equal authority. Paragraphs in **bold type** state the main principles. Terms defined in Appendix A are in *italics* the first time they appear in the Standard. Definitions of other terms are given in the Glossary. NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep) should be read in the context of its objective and the IASB's Basis for Conclusions on IFRS 6 and the New Zealand Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (Diff Rep) (NZ Framework (Diff Rep)). NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep) Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors provides a basis for selecting and applying accounting policies in the absence of explicit guidance.

Any additional material is shown with grey shading and the paragraphs are denoted with "NZ".

#### HISTORY OF AMENDMENTS

### $\label{lem:condition} \textbf{Table of Pronouncements} - \textbf{NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep)} \ \textit{Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources}$

This table lists the pronouncement establishing NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep).

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods on or after)
NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep) Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources	Nov 2012	Early application permitted	1 Dec 2012

Table of Amended Paragraphs in NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep)		
Paragraph affected	How affected	By [date]
Paragraph NZ 2.1	Inserted	NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep) [Nov 2012]
Paragraph NZ 26	Deleted	NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep) [Nov 2012]
Paragraph NZ 26.1	Inserted	NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep) [Nov 2012]

The following tables list the pronouncements establishing and substantially amending NZ IFRS 6 as applied by qualifying entities prior to the issue of this Standard as NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep).

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods on or after)
NZ IFRS 6 Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources	April 2005	1 Jan 2005 Early application encouraged	1 Jan 2007
Framework for Differential Reporting for Entities Applying the New Zealand Equivalents to IFRSs Financial Reporting Standards Reporting Regime (Framework for Differential Reporting)	June 2005	1 Jan 2005	1 Jan 2007

Pronouncements	Date approved	Early operative date	Effective date (annual reporting periods on or after)
Amendments to IFRS 6 and NZ IFRS 1 First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards	Oct 2005	1 Jan 2006 Early application encouraged	1 Jan 2007
NZ IFRS 8 Operating Segments	Dec 2006	Early adoption permitted	1 Jan 2009

Table of Amended Paragraphs in NZ IFRS 6		
Paragraph affected	How affected	By [date]
Paragraph 21	Amended	NZ IFRS 8 [Dec 2006]

#### **Introduction to NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep)**

NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep) is identical to NZ IFRS 6 applied by qualifying entities prior to the issuance of NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep). That is, there is no change to the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure requirements of NZ IFRS 6 on adoption of this Standard.

This Standard specifies the financial reporting for the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources. NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep):

- (a) permits an entity adopting the Standard to continue applying the accounting policies applied immediately before adopting this Standard;
- requires exploration and evaluation assets to be initially recognised at cost and subsequently measured at cost or valuation;
- (c) requires exploration and evaluation assets to be assessed for impairment in accordance with this Standard.
- (d) requires any impairment losses identified for exploration and evaluation assets to be measured, presented and disclosed in accordance with NZ IAS 36 (Diff Rep) Impairment of Assets; and
- (e) requires disclosure of information that identifies and explains the amounts recognised in the financial statements arising from the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources.

#### **Differential Reporting**

Qualifying entities must comply with all the provisions in NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep).

# New Zealand Equivalent to International Financial Reporting Standard 6 (Diff Rep)

# Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources (NZ IFRS 6 (Diff Rep))

#### **Objective**

- The objective of this Standard is to specify the financial reporting for the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources.
- 2 In particular, the Standard requires:
  - (a) limited improvements to existing accounting practices for *exploration and evaluation expenditures*.
  - (b) entities that recognise exploration and evaluation assets to assess such assets for impairment in accordance with this Standard and measure any impairment in accordance with NZ IAS 36 (Diff Rep) Impairment of Assets.
  - (c) disclosures that identify and explain the amounts in the entity's financial statements arising from the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources and help users of those financial statements understand the amount, timing and certainty of future cash flows from any exploration and evaluation assets recognised.

#### Scope

#### NZ 2.1 This Standard applies only to Tier 3 for-profit entities.

- 3 An entity shall apply the Standard to exploration and evaluation expenditures that it incurs
- The Standard does not address other aspects of accounting by entities engaged in the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources.
- 5 An entity shall not apply the Standard to expenditures incurred:
  - (a) before the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources, such as expenditures incurred before the entity has obtained the legal rights to explore a specific area.
  - (b) after the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource are demonstrable.

#### Recognition of exploration and evaluation assets

# Temporary exemption from NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep) paragraphs 11 and 12

- When developing its accounting policies, an entity recognising exploration and evaluation assets shall apply paragraph 10 of NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep) *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.*
- Paragraphs 11 and 12 of NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep) specify sources of authoritative requirements and guidance that management is required to consider in developing an accounting policy for an item if no NZ IFRS Diff Rep applies specifically to that item. Subject to paragraphs 9 and 10 below, this Standard exempts an entity from applying those paragraphs to its accounting policies for the recognition and measurement of exploration and evaluation assets.

#### Measurement of exploration and evaluation assets

#### Measurement at recognition

8 Exploration and evaluation assets shall be measured at cost.

## Elements of cost of exploration and evaluation assets

- An entity shall determine an accounting policy specifying which expenditures are recognised as exploration and evaluation assets and apply the policy consistently. In making this determination, an entity considers the degree to which the expenditure can be associated with finding specific mineral resources. The following are examples of expenditures that might be included in the initial measurement of exploration and evaluation assets (the list is not exhaustive):
  - (a) acquisition of rights to explore;
  - (b) topographical, geological, geochemical and geophysical studies;
  - (c) exploratory drilling;
  - (d) trenching;
  - (e) sampling; and
  - (f) activities in relation to evaluating the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource.
- Expenditures related to the development of mineral resources shall not be recognised as exploration and evaluation assets. The NZ Framework (Diff Rep) and

NZ IAS 38 (Diff Rep) *Intangible Assets* provide guidance on the recognition of assets arising from development.

In accordance with NZ IAS 37 (Diff Rep) *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets* an entity recognises any obligations for removal and restoration that are incurred during a particular period as a consequence of having undertaken the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources.

#### Measurement after recognition

After recognition, an entity shall apply either the cost model or the revaluation model to the exploration and evaluation assets. If the revaluation model is applied (either the model in NZ IAS 16 (Diff Rep) *Property, Plant and Equipment* or the model in NZ IAS 38 (Diff Rep)) it shall be consistent with the classification of the assets (see paragraph 15).

#### Changes in accounting policies

- An entity may change its accounting policies for exploration and evaluation expenditures if the change makes the financial statements more relevant to the economic decision-making needs of users and no less reliable, or more reliable and no less relevant to those needs. An entity shall judge relevance and reliability using the criteria in NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep).
- To justify changing its accounting policies for exploration and evaluation expenditures, an entity shall demonstrate that the change brings its financial statements closer to meeting the criteria in NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep), but the change need not achieve full compliance with those criteria.

#### **Presentation**

#### Classification of exploration and evaluation assets

- An entity shall classify exploration and evaluation assets as tangible or intangible according to the nature of the assets acquired and apply the classification consistently.
- Some exploration and evaluation assets are treated as intangible (eg drilling rights), whereas others are tangible (eg vehicles and drilling rigs). To the extent that a tangible asset is consumed in developing an intangible asset, the amount reflecting that consumption is part of the cost of the intangible asset. However, using a tangible asset to develop an intangible asset does not change a tangible asset into an intangible asset.

### Reclassification of exploration and evaluation assets

An exploration and evaluation asset shall no longer be classified as such when the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource are demonstrable. Exploration and evaluation assets shall be assessed for impairment, and any impairment loss recognised, before reclassification.

#### **Impairment**

#### **Recognition and measurement**

- Exploration and evaluation assets shall be assessed for impairment when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount of an exploration and evaluation asset may exceed its recoverable amount. When facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount, an entity shall measure, present and disclose any resulting impairment loss in accordance with NZ IAS 36 (Diff Rep), except as provided by paragraph 21 below.
- For the purposes of exploration and evaluation assets only, paragraph 20 of this Standard shall be applied rather than paragraphs 8–17 of NZ IAS 36 (Diff Rep) when identifying an exploration and evaluation asset that may be impaired. Paragraph 20 uses the term 'assets' but applies equally to separate exploration and evaluation assets or a cash-generating unit.
- One or more of the following facts and circumstances indicate that an entity should test exploration and evaluation assets for impairment (the list is not exhaustive):
  - (a) the period for which the entity has the right to explore in the specific area has expired during the period or will expire in the near future, and is not expected to be renewed.
  - (b) substantive expenditure on further exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources in the specific area is neither budgeted nor planned.
  - (c) exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources in the specific area have not led to the discovery of commercially viable quantities of mineral resources and the entity has decided to discontinue such activities in the specific area.
  - (d) sufficient data exist to indicate that, although a development in the specific area is likely to proceed, the carrying amount of the exploration and evaluation asset is unlikely to be recovered in full from successful development or by sale.

In any such case, or similar cases, the entity shall perform an impairment test in accordance with NZ IAS 36 (Diff Rep). Any impairment loss is recognised as an expense in accordance with NZ IAS 36 (Diff Rep).

# Specifying the level at which exploration and evaluation assets are assessed for impairment

- An entity shall determine an accounting policy for allocating exploration and evaluation assets to cash-generating units or groups of cash-generating units for the purpose of assessing such assets for impairment. Each cash-generating unit or group of units to which an exploration and evaluation asset is allocated shall not be larger than an operating segment determined in accordance with NZ IFRS 8 *Operating Segments*.
- The level identified by the entity for the purposes of testing exploration and evaluation assets for impairment may comprise one or more cash-generating units.

#### **Disclosure**

- An entity shall disclose information that identifies and explains the amounts recognised in its financial statements arising from the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources.
- To comply with paragraph 23, an entity shall disclose:
  - (a) its accounting policies for exploration and evaluation expenditures including the recognition of exploration and evaluation assets.
  - (b) the amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expense and operating and investing cash flows arising from the exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources.
- An entity shall treat exploration and evaluation assets as a separate class of assets and make the disclosures required by either NZ IAS 16 (Diff Rep) or NZ IAS 38 (Diff Rep) consistent with how the assets are classified.

#### **Effective date**

[Deleted.]

NZ 26.1 This Standard applies to annual periods beginning on or after 1 December 2012. Early application is permitted. This Standard replaces NZ IFRS 6 as applied by qualifying entities prior to the issuance of this Standard. There are no changes to the requirements of NZ IFRS 6 as it applied to qualifying entities.

#### **Transitional provisions**

27 If it is impracticable to apply a particular requirement of paragraph 18 to comparative information that relates to annual periods beginning before 1 January 2006, an entity shall disclose that fact. NZ IAS 8 (Diff Rep) explains the term 'impracticable'.

# Appendix A Defined terms

This appendix is an integral part of the Standard.

exploration and evaluation assets	<b>Exploration and evaluation expenditures</b> recognised as assets in accordance with the entity's accounting policy.
exploration and evaluation expenditures	Expenditures incurred by an entity in connection with the <b>exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources</b> before the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource are demonstrable.
exploration for and evaluation of mineral resources	The search for mineral resources, including minerals, oil, natural gas and similar non-regenerative resources after the entity has obtained legal rights to explore in a specific area, as well as the determination of the technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting the mineral resource.