

BOARD MEETING AGENDA

9.30am to 3.30pm Tuesday 29 October, 2019, XRB Office

Est. Time	Item	Paper	Page
A: NON-PUBLIC SESSION			
10:30 AM	Morning Tea		
12:30 PM	Lunch		
B: PUBLIC SESSION 1:00PM			
	<i>For Approval</i>		
	3.1 Amendments to EG A1		
	3.1.1 Amendments to EG A1 – Cover Memo	Paper	
	3.1.2 Draft EG A1 Guide to the Application of the Accounting Standards Framework	Paper	
	3.1.3 Draft EG A1 Guide to the Application of the Accounting Standards Framework (marked up)	Paper	
C: NON-PUBLIC SESSION			
3:30 PM	Close		

Next Meeting: Wednesday, 11 December 2019, Wellington



EXTERNAL REPORTING BOARD
Te Kāwai Ārahi Pūrongo Mōwaho

DATE: 18 October 2019

TO: Members of the External Reporting Board

FROM: Aimy Luu Huynh, Senior Project Manager of Accounting Standards

SUBJECT: AMENDMENTS TO EG A1

Purpose and introduction¹

1. In August 2019² the XRB Board was informed of a constituent query that resulted in amendments to Explanatory Guide A1: *Guide to Application of the Accounting Standards Framework*. However, further amendments were required because an XRB Board member noted that the definition of public accountability in EG A1 has omitted part of the definition of public accountability in XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework*. The XRB Board member also thought the proposed amendments were more than editorial and should be reviewed by the NZASB before it is brought back to the XRB Board for approval.
2. At its September meeting, the NZASB agreed with the revised proposed amendments to EG A1 and agreed to advise the XRB Board that the contents of EG A1 are technically correct.
3. Subsequent to the NZASB meeting we have made editorials to EG A1. The editorials have been reviewed by the NZASB Chair.
4. At this meeting we are seeking the XRB Board's approval to issue the amendments to EG A1.

Background

5. We received a query from a constituent who was assessing whether their entity has public accountability as defined under XRB A1 and was referring to EG A1 for guidance. The queries were:
 - (a) What does the "two legs" in paragraph 41 of EG A1 mean?
 - (b) The entity is not an FMC reporting entity and satisfies paragraph 8(b) of XRB A1, does it also need to satisfy paragraph 9 of XRB A1 in order to have public accountability? The "and" between the second sub-point and the

¹ This memo refers to the work of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and uses registered trademarks of the IFRS Foundation (for example, IFRS® Standards, IFRIC® Interpretations and IASB® papers).

² In the Chief Executive's report.

second main point in paragraph 41 of EG A1 seems to suggest that paragraph 9 of XRB A1 would need to be satisfied as well.

6. Relevant extracts from XRB A1 and EG A1 (the points in question are highlighted green) are shown below.

XRB A1

- 7 For the purpose of applying the Tier 1 criteria, an entity has public accountability if:
- (a) it meets the IASB definition of public accountability as specified in paragraph 8 (subject to paragraph 10); or
 - (b) it is deemed to have public accountability in New Zealand in accordance with paragraph 9.
- 8 In accordance with the IASB definition, an entity has public accountability if:
- (a) its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or
 - (b) it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion).
- 9 An entity is deemed to have public accountability in New Zealand if:
- (a) it is an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a “higher level of public accountability” than other FMC reporting entities under section 461K of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013; or
 - (b) it is an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a “higher level of public accountability” by a notice issued by the Financial Markets Authority (FMA) under section 461L(1)(a) of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013.
- 10 Notwithstanding paragraph 8(b), an FMC reporting entity is not considered to have public accountability unless it is considered to have a “higher level of public accountability” than other FMC reporting entities in accordance with paragraph 9(a) or 9(b).
- ² The terms “FMC reporting entity” and an FMC reporting entity with a “higher level of public accountability” are set out in the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013. Under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, certain FMC reporting entities are considered to have a “higher level of public accountability” for financial reporting purposes. These include issuers of equity securities or debt securities under a regulated offer; managers of registered schemes (in respect of financial statements of a scheme or fund); listed issuers; registered banks; licensed insurers; credit unions and building societies. In addition, the FMA may, by notice, specify that an entity (or a group of entities) is considered to have a “higher level of public accountability” or not to have a “higher level of public accountability” than other FMC reporting entities.

EG A1

- 41 Two groups of for-profit entities must report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements: entities that have “public accountability”; and public sector for-profit entities that have total expenses greater than \$30 million. For the purpose of the tier criteria, public accountability has a particular technical meaning which is defined in XRB A1. **In general, the definition has two legs:¹⁴**
- An entity has public accountability if it meets the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) definition of public accountability, i.e.:
 - o its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or
 - o it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities

brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion); and

- An entity has public accountability if it is deemed to be so under XRB A1, with the following being so deemed: a FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 or by a notice issued by the FMA under that Act.

¹⁴ XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework* sets out the definition of “public accountability” in detail.

Proposed amendments

7. In responding to the query we found the following issues with EG A1.
 - (a) Inconsistency between paragraphs 41 and 62³ of EG A1 and paragraphs 7–9 of XRB A1.
 - (b) Omission of part of the definition of public accountability.
8. Each of these issues is discussed below.
9. A clean version of the proposed EG A1 is attached as agenda item 3.1.2. A marked up copy of the proposed EG A1 showing the changes made is attached as agenda item 3.1.3.

Inconsistency between paragraphs 41 and 62 of EG A1 and paragraphs 7–9 of XRB A1

10. The phrase “In general, the definition has two legs:” is in paragraph 41 of EG A1 because it was meant to cover paragraphs 7(a) and 7(b) (the two legs) of XRB A1 and issuers. Issuers were deemed to have public accountability under the transitional provisions of the Financial Reporting Act 2013. These transitional provisions were repealed on 1 April 2019. The reference to issuers was included in earlier versions of XRB A1
11. The “and” between the second sub-point and second main point in paragraph 41 of EG A1 was to address the two legs in the lead in sentence i.e. if there is a reference to two legs then “and” is needed. The “and” seems to suggest that if an entity satisfies paragraph 8(b) of XRB A1 then it would also need to satisfy paragraph 9 of XRB A1. However, the interaction between paragraphs 8–9 of XRB A1 depends on whether the entity is an FMC reporting entity. This is discussed in the second issue below.

Omission of part of the definition of public accountability

12. An XRB Board member noted that paragraph 10 of XRB A1 is omitted from EG A1. Paragraph 10 of XRB A1 deals with FMC reporting entities and recognises that the FMA can override paragraph 8(b) of XRB A1 and determine that an FMC reporting entity does not have public accountability (and hence is eligible to report under Tier 2), even though it might meet the second part of the IASB definition in paragraph 8(b) of XRB A1.

³ Paragraph 62 is the equivalent paragraph for PBEs and is broadly consistent with paragraph 41 of EG A1.

13. The interaction between paragraphs 8(b) and 10 depends on whether the entity is an FMC reporting entity as noted in the following scenarios.
- (a) If an entity is an FMC reporting entity, it will have public accountability only if it satisfies either paragraph 8(a) or paragraph 9 of XRB A1 – satisfying paragraph 8(b) alone is not sufficient to meet the definition, because paragraph 10 overrides paragraph 8(b) in the case of FMC reporting entities.
 - (b) If an entity is not an FMC reporting entity, it will have public accountability if it satisfies paragraph 8(a) or paragraph 8(b) (with paragraph 9 of XRB A1 being irrelevant) – in this case, satisfying paragraph 8(a) or paragraph 8(b) alone is sufficient to meet the definition of public accountability.
14. We are proposing amendments to EG A1 to address the above issues as shown below. We are also proposing to include a decision tree to demonstrate the interaction between paragraphs 8–10 of XRB A1.

Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: For-profit Entities

...

~~3841~~ Two groups of for-profit entities must report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements: entities that have “public accountability”; and public sector for-profit entities that have total expenses greater than \$30 million. For the purpose of the tier criteria, public accountability has a particular technical meaning which is defined in XRB A1. In general, an entity has public accountability¹⁶⁴ if it the definition has two legs:

~~(a)– An entity has public accountability if it Mmeets the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) definition of public accountability, i.e.:~~

~~(i)– its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or~~


~~(ii)– it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion); orand~~

~~(b)– An entity has public accountability if it Is deemed to be so under XRB A1, with the following being so deemed: an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 or by a notice issued by the Financial Markets Authority (FMA) under that Act.~~

39 However, XRB A1 also recognises that the FMA, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, has the ability to vary the level of public accountability of an FMC reporting entity. Therefore, an FMC reporting entity is not considered to have public accountability under the second part of the IASB definition (see paragraph 38(a)(ii)) unless it is deemed to have public accountability (see paragraph 38(b)). Figure 2 provides a decision tree to assist an entity identify whether it has public accountability.

¹⁶⁴ XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework* ~~sets out the definition of “public accountability”~~²² ~~in detail.~~

Figure 2: Identifying Public Accountability



Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: PBEs

...

602 For the purpose of the tier criteria, public accountability has a particular technical meaning which is defined in XRB A1. The definition, which is the same definition as that used for the for-profit tier structure, has two legs: In general, an entity has public accountability if it:

(a) ~~An entity has public accountability if it meets the IASB definition of public accountability, i.e.:~~

(i)⁹ its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or

(ii)⁹ it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion); ~~or~~

(b) ~~An entity has public accountability if it is deemed to be so under XRB A1, with the following being so deemed: an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 or by a notice issued by the FMA under that Act.~~

61 However, XRB A1 also recognises that the FMA, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, has the ability to vary the level of public accountability of an FMC reporting entity. Therefore, an FMC reporting entity is not considered to have public accountability under the second part of the IASB definition (see paragraph 60(a)(ii)) unless it is deemed to have public accountability (see paragraph 60(b)). Figure 2 provides a decision tree to assist an entity identify whether it has public accountability.

Other changes

15. We have also taken the opportunity to do a complete review of EG A1 and have made the following changes to the proposed EG.
- (a) Deleting some of the history on the Accounting Standards Framework (ASF). The history was helpful when the XRB was rolling out the different stages of the ASF. The full ASF has been in place for some time now so we thought some of this history could be deleted.
 - (b) Deleting text that we think is no longer relevant.
 - (c) Editorials to improve/clarify the guidance.
 - (d) Reformatting the figures to enhance the visual presentation.
16. The changes in points (a) and (b) are outlined in the table below.

Paragraph(s)	Changes made
2	We have deleted the list of previous versions of XRB A1. This is less relevant with the passage of time. We have provided a link to previous versions of XRB A1 and EG A1 on the XRB website so the history is available should constituents need to refer to this.
Previous 5 and 6	We have deleted these paragraphs. They are no longer relevant now that we have the full ASF in place. The history is available in the previous versions of EG A1 and a link to the XRB website is provided in the updated footnote 1.
Previous 7	We have deleted this paragraph as it is broadly covered in the updated paragraph 2.
32	We have added a footnote to note the latest version of Appendix A <i>When is an Entity a Public Benefit Entity?</i> of XRB A1. Entities can refer to Appendix A of XRB A1 to determine whether they are a for-profit entity or an PBE.
Previous 35	We have deleted this paragraph as it was probably helpful at the time the new ASF was introduced but with the passage of time it is becoming less relevant.
41	We have deleted the reference to the ASRB because the suite of NZ IFRS was reissued by the NZASB in November 2012.
47	We have deleted the footnote about the NZASB and AASB joint review of the RDR Framework. This project is on hold pending the Targeted Review of the ASF, the AASB's Australian financial reporting framework review and the IASB Subsidiaries that are SMEs project.
71	We have softened the first sentence because there could be fewer gaps in IPSAS in the future.
Previous 75	We have deleted this paragraph because when the ASF was introduced some PBEs were worried about no longer being IFRS Standards compliant if they applied PBE Standards. However, things have moved on, so this paragraph is no longer required.

Recommendation

17. It is recommended that the XRB Board **APPROVES** the amendments to EG A1.

Attachments

Agenda item 3.1.2: Draft EG A1

Agenda item 3.1.3: Draft EG A1 – marked up



EXPLANATORY GUIDE A1: GUIDE TO APPLICATION OF THE ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FRAMEWORK (EG A1)

Issued by the External Reporting Board

April 2016

Relevant to reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016

This Explanatory Guide outlines the reporting requirements for entities that have a statutory obligation (or optionally elect under an enactment) to prepare general purpose financial reports in accordance with standards issued by the External Reporting Board (XRB). It also sets out the roles and functions of the XRB and its sub-Board, the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board (NZASB), in issuing accounting standards and authoritative notices.

XRB A1 Application of the Accounting Standards Framework (XRB A1), issued in December 2015, gives effect to the Accounting Standards Framework on completion of its staged implementation. XRB A1 is applicable to all reporting entities for reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016, with early application permitted from 1 April 2015.

This Explanatory Guide is based on Standard XRB A1. It is an explanatory document and has no legal status. It was issued in April 2016 and updated in December 2017, June 2018, July 2019 and October 2019.

COPYRIGHT

© External Reporting Board (XRB) 2016

This XRB Explanatory Guide contains copyright material.

Reproduction in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address:
enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

ISBN 978-0-947505-07-3

CONTENTS

EXPLANATORY GUIDE A1: GUIDE TO APPLICATION OF THE ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FRAMEWORK (EG A1)

	<i>Paragraphs</i>
1. Introduction	1–5
Purpose and Content of this Explanatory Guide	1–4
The Accounting Standards Framework	5
2. Roles of the XRB Board and the NZASB	6–11
3. Legislative Framework	12–28
Requirement to Prepare	12–15
Definition of GAAP	16–17
Definition of “Non-GAAP Standard”	18–20
General Purpose Financial Reports	21–23
Types of Documents Issued by the XRB	24–28
4. Accounting Requirements to be Applied	29–86
Step 1: Determine Whether a For-profit Entity or a Public Benefit Entity (PBE)	30–34
Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: For-profit Entities	35–55
Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: PBEs	56–86

1. Introduction

Purpose and Content of this Explanatory Guide

- 1 This Explanatory Guide (EG) provides an overview of the reporting requirements for entities that have a statutory obligation (or that optionally elect under an enactment) to prepare general purpose financial reports (GPFR) in accordance with XRB standards.
- 2 The contents of this Explanatory Guide reflect the requirements of Standard XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework* (XRB A1). XRB A1 is the overarching standard issued by the External Reporting Board (XRB Board) to give effect to the Accounting Standards Framework.¹ It is relevant for reporting by entities for annual or interim reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016, with early application permitted for all reporting entities for reporting periods beginning on or after 1 April 2015.
- 3 This Explanatory Guide has been issued for explanatory purposes only and has no legal status. It covers five main topics:
 - The roles and responsibilities of the XRB Board, and its sub-Board the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board (NZASB);
 - The legal requirement on certain entities to prepare financial reports that comply with generally accepted accounting practice (GAAP) and what GAAP consists of;
 - The legal requirement that permits certain public sector public benefit entities and not-for-profit public benefit entities that are not “specified not-for-profit entities” to prepare financial reports in accordance with “non-GAAP standards”² issued by the XRB and what non-GAAP standards consist of;
 - The standards and other documents issued by the XRB and the NZASB, and the legal standing of those documents; and
 - The standards and other pronouncements that reporting entities are required to comply with, including the tiers and sets of standards that apply to particular reporting entities.
- 4 A separate Explanatory Guide (EG A2 *Overview of the Accounting Standard-Setting Process*) provides an overview of the process that the XRB Board expects the NZASB to follow in developing, or adopting, and issuing accounting standards.

The Accounting Standards Framework

- 5 In April 2012, the Minister of Commerce approved the Accounting Standards Framework³ submitted by the XRB Board. The Accounting Standards Framework (which was updated in December 2015)⁴ reflects the XRB Board’s decision to adopt a multi-standards approach in New Zealand.⁵ The Accounting Standards Framework comprises different sets of accounting standards for for-profit entities and for public benefit entities (PBEs), together with a formalised tier structure. The tier structure is designed to better balance the relative costs and benefits of reporting by entities of different sizes.

¹ Previous versions of EG A1 relate to previous versions of XRB A1 and apply to entities reporting for annual or interim reporting periods covered by those versions of XRB A1. They are available at <https://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/archived-accounting-standards/general-standards-and-explanatory-guides-current/>

² Under the Financial Reporting Act 2013, a financial reporting standard issued by the XRB is a non-GAAP standard if it is stated in the standard to be a non-GAAP standard.

³ The Accounting Standards Framework as approved by the Minister in April 2012 is available on the XRB website: <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/why-report/history/>

⁴ The updated version of the Accounting Standards Framework is available on the XRB website: <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/why-report/accounting-standards-framework/>

⁵ The decision to adopt a multi-standards approach followed an extensive consultation and deliberation process undertaken by the XRB Board and its predecessor, the Accounting Standards Review Board (ASRB). Further information about this process and the rationale for the multi-standards approach is available on the XRB website: <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/why-report/history/>

2. Roles of the XRB Board and the NZASB

- 6 The XRB is an independent Crown Entity with continued existence under section 11 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and is subject to the provisions of the Crown Entities Act 2004. For the purposes of this Explanatory Guide, the organisation as a whole is referred to as the XRB while the Board itself is referred to as the XRB Board.
- 7 The functions of the XRB are specified in the Financial Reporting Act 2013. In relation to accounting standards they comprise:
 - Developing and issuing accounting standards and amendments to accounting standards for application by entities that have a statutory obligation (or that optionally elect under an enactment) to prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting practice (GAAP) or non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB (section 12(a));
 - Developing and issuing authoritative notices for the purposes of the definition of GAAP (section 12(c));
 - Developing and implementing strategies for the issue of accounting standards in order to provide a framework for the XRB's overall direction in the setting of standards (section 12(d)), including establishing a system for tiers of financial reporting that imposes different financial reporting requirements in respect of different classes of relevant entities in order to ensure that the requirements that apply in respect of those entities are appropriate (section 29);
 - Liaising with international or national organisations that have responsibility for accounting standard setting (section 12(e)); and
 - Consulting with persons or organisations (or their representatives) who, in the opinion of the XRB Board, would be affected by the issue or amendment of an accounting standard or authoritative notice (section 22).
- 8 Although all the functions and responsibilities of the XRB ultimately rest with the XRB Board, the XRB Board has delegated the responsibility for accounting standard setting to a committee (generally referred to as a sub-board), the NZASB. The NZASB has been established in accordance with the powers vested in the XRB Board under Schedule 5 of the Crown Entities Act 2004.
- 9 Accordingly, the role of the XRB Board under these arrangements is three-fold: organisational governance; financial reporting strategy setting; and appointing and monitoring the performance of the NZASB. The financial reporting strategy setting function (required by section 12(d) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013) includes the establishment (and, if necessary, the revision) of the Accounting Standards Framework.
- 10 The NZASB is responsible for developing and issuing accounting standards and authoritative notices. In doing so the NZASB must:
 - Operate within the Accounting Standards Framework established by the XRB Board;
 - Liaise with the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) with the objective of harmonising accounting standards in Australia and New Zealand for for-profit entities; and
 - Ensure an appropriate consultation process (due process) is followed – see EG A2 for an explanation of these requirements.
- 11 The NZASB operates under delegated authority from the XRB Board.

3. Legislative Framework

Requirement to Prepare

- 12 Various pieces of legislation require entities to prepare GPFR that comply with XRB standards. These “reporting entities”⁶ are required to comply with XRB standards that are GAAP or non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB.
- 13 Entities required to comply with GAAP include:
 - “FMC reporting entities”⁷ as defined by the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013;
 - Large companies^{8,9} (with total assets of over \$60 million or total revenue of over \$30 million in the two preceding reporting periods) under the Companies Act 1993;
 - Large overseas companies,⁹ large subsidiaries of overseas companies and large New Zealand businesses of large overseas companies (with total assets of over \$20 million or total revenue of over \$10 million in the two preceding reporting periods) under the Companies Act 1993;
 - Local authorities and council controlled organisations under the Local Government Act 2002;
 - State sector bodies under the Public Finance Act 1989 and the Crown Entities Act 2004;
 - Other public entities under the Public Audit Act 2001;
 - Registered charitable entities that are specified not-for-profit entities under the Charities Act 2005;¹⁰ and
 - Large registered friendly societies, large registered industrial and provident societies, large partnerships and large limited partnerships (with total assets of over \$60 million or total revenue of over \$30 million in the two preceding reporting periods) under their respective governing legislation.
- 14 Most small and medium sized for-profit entities have no obligation to prepare financial statements that comply with GAAP. However, entities may optionally elect under an enactment to prepare financial reports in accordance with GAAP, for example, a company that has fewer than 10 shareholders.
- 15 Certain public sector PBEs and not-for-profit PBEs that do not meet the criteria to be specified not-for-profit entities are permitted by legislation to prepare financial statements in accordance with non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB (i.e. cash-based standards). Specified not-for-profit entities are required to prepare financial statements that comply with GAAP.

⁶ Reporting entity is defined in the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and means an entity whose financial statements or, group financial statements, reports, or other information are required by any enactment to comply, or be prepared in accordance, with generally accepted accounting practice or non-GAAP standards.

⁷ FMC reporting entity is defined in the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 and includes:

- an issuer of a regulated product;
- a person who holds a licence to provide certain market services under Part 6 of the Act;
- a licensed supervisor;
- a listed issuer;
- an operator of a licensed market;
- a recipient of money from a conduit issuer;
- a registered bank;
- a licensed insurer;
- a credit union;
- a building society; and
- an FMC reporting entity under clause 27A of Schedule 1.

⁸ Companies, including not-for-profit companies that are not registered charitable entities under the Charities Act 2005.

⁹ Except for large companies or large overseas companies if they are a subsidiary of another New Zealand entity that is required to prepare group financial statements.

¹⁰ The reporting provisions relating to registered charities came into force on 1 April 2015.

Definition of GAAP

- 16 GAAP is defined in section 8 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and means compliance with:
- (a) Applicable accounting standards; and
 - (b) Authoritative notices.
- 17 In accordance with this definition, accounting standards issued by the XRB Board or the NZASB are the primary indicators of GAAP in New Zealand. They set out the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure requirements for transactions and events that are important in the preparation of GPFR, including those that may arise in specific industries.

Definition of “Non-GAAP Standard”

- 18 Some enactments permit certain entities that would otherwise be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with GAAP to apply a non-GAAP standard issued by the XRB. In order to do so, an entity must not be a specified not-for-profit entity. Standard XRB A2 *Meaning of Specified Statutory Size Thresholds* defines a specified not-for-profit entity as an entity whose total operating payments for each of the two preceding accounting periods are \$125,000 or more. For the purpose of applying the legislative size threshold, where an entity has controlled entities,¹¹ total operating payments means the combined operating payments of the entity and all its controlled entities.
- 19 Non-GAAP standard is defined in section 5 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and means a financial reporting standard issued by the XRB that is stated in the standard to be a non-GAAP standard. All the non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB are cash-based standards.
- 20 Legislation that allows entities to apply non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB include:
- Certain public sector entities under the Burial and Cremation Act 1964, the Maori Purposes Fund Act 1934-35, the Patriotic and Canteen Funds Act 1947, the Reserves Act 1977 and the Reserves and Other Lands Disposal Act 1995; and
 - Registered charitable entities, friendly societies and other entities under the Charities Act 2005, the Friendly Societies and Credit Unions Act 1982 and the Agricultural and Pastoral Societies Act 1908.

General Purpose Financial Reports

- 21 GPFR comprise financial statements accompanied by:
- (a) Non-financial information, such as service performance information; and
 - (b) Explanatory material, including that required by legislation.
- 22 The objective of GPFR is to provide information to users for decision-making or accountability purposes where those users are generally unable to obtain the information they require. By definition therefore GPFR seeks to provide information to a range of general purpose users with different interests in that information. GAAP and non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB reflect this.¹²
- 23 By contrast where users have the power to specify the information to be included in financial reports, these financial reports are considered to be special purpose financial reports (SPFR). Users that can usually request SPFR include major suppliers of funds such as banks and financial institutions, government regulatory agencies such as Inland Revenue or Statistics New Zealand, and credit rating agencies. The standards issued by the XRB are not intended to apply to SPFR.

¹¹ The combined operating payments of the entity and all its controlled entities excludes any payments between the entity and the controlled entities and/or between the controlled entities.

¹² The objective of GPFR, the users of GPFR and the information needs of such users are discussed in detail in the New Zealand equivalent to the IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* issued in 2018 (2018 NZ *Conceptual Framework*) and the *Public Benefit Entities' Conceptual Framework* (PBE *Conceptual Framework*).

Types of Documents Issued by the XRB

- 24 As outlined above, under section 12 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013, the XRB is responsible for issuing accounting standards (including non-GAAP standards) and authoritative notices.
- 25 Compliance with GAAP or non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB is a legal requirement for certain entities and XRB standards therefore have legal standing. Under section 25 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013, accounting standards and authoritative notices (including any amendments or revocations) issued under section 12 are classified as disallowable instruments for the purposes of the Legislation Act 2012.
- 26 However, not all the documents issued by the XRB have legal status. Between them, the XRB Board and the NZASB issue four types of documents:
- Accounting standards (including non-GAAP standards) and related interpretations which are issued under section 12(a) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013;
 - Documents or pronouncements, such as conceptual frameworks, that are issued as authoritative notices under section 12(c) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013;
 - Consultation documents, such as consultation papers and exposure drafts, that have no legal status; and
 - Explanatory documents (such as this Explanatory Guide) that have no legal status.
- Only the documents issued under sections 12(a) and 12(c) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 have legal standing and form part of GAAP or non-GAAP standards.
- 27 While GAAP comprises applicable accounting standards and authoritative notices, the authoritative notices have a “lower” level of authority than accounting standards. For example, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, failure to comply with an authoritative notice does not subject an FMC reporting entity to pecuniary penalties.
- 28 The XRB Board considers it important that the legal status of each document issued by the XRB Board or the NZASB is clear. Accordingly, its policy is to indicate on the front page of each document the legal standing of that document. In addition, each non-GAAP standard issued by the XRB states that it is a non-GAAP standard.

4. Accounting Requirements to be Applied

- 29 There are multiple sets of accounting requirements that apply to New Zealand reporting entities preparing GPFR in accordance with GAAP or non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016. Establishing exactly which set of those accounting requirements is applicable to a particular reporting entity can be determined in two steps.

Step 1: Determine Whether a For-profit Entity or a Public Benefit Entity (PBE)


- 30 The following are the different accounting requirements that apply under the Accounting Standards Framework: NZ IFRS, NZ IFRS RDR, PBE Standards, PBE Standards RDR, PBE SFR-A (PS), PBE SFR-C (PS), PBE SFR-A (NFP) and PBE SFR-C (NFP). Exactly which of these accounting requirements a particular reporting entity must, or may, apply is specified in XRB A1.¹³
- 31 XRB A1 establishes two broad groups of accounting standards: those to be applied by for-profit entities and those to be applied by public benefit entities (PBEs). Having determined that it is required (or chooses) to prepare financial statements in accordance with XRB standards, an entity's next step is to determine whether it is a for-profit entity or a PBE.
- 32 The definitions of "for-profit entities", "public benefit entities (PBEs)", "public sector PBE" and "not-for-profit PBE" are specified in XRB A1. XRB A1 also contains integral guidance relating to the definitions of for-profit entities and public benefit entities in an Appendix.¹⁴ XRB A1 defines:
- For-profit entities as reporting entities that are not public benefit entities;
 - Public benefit entities (PBEs) as reporting entities whose primary objective is to provide goods or services for community or social benefit and where any equity has been provided with a view to supporting that primary objective rather than for a financial return to equity holders;
 - Public sector PBEs as PBEs that are public entities as defined in the Public Audit Act 2001, and all Offices of Parliament; and
 - Not-for-profit PBEs (NFP PBEs) as PBEs that are not public sector PBEs.
- 33 Although under the Accounting Standards Framework the term public benefit entities (PBEs) refers to both public sector PBEs and not-for-profit PBEs, a distinction has been made between these two categories of PBEs because XRB A1 specifies different accounting requirements for these two categories of PBEs in certain areas.

¹³ Standard XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework* is available on the XRB website: <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/for-profit-entities/> or <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/not-for-profit/> or <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/public-sector/>

¹⁴ Appendix A *When is an Entity a Public Benefit Entity?* of XRB A1 was last updated in 2019.

34 Step 1 is illustrated in Figure 1 below.

Figure 1: Identify the Entity Type – Step 1



Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: For-profit Entities

35 Having determined whether it is a for-profit entity or a PBE, the second step is to determine which set of accounting requirements is applicable to the entity. The approach to identifying the applicable accounting requirements for a for-profit entity set out in XRB A1 is as follows.

Tier Structure

36 XRB A1 contains a two-tier structure for the for-profit sector. The requirements that an entity applies depend on the tier that it reports under. The tiers, criteria for the tiers, and the requirements applying to each tier are summarised in Table 1.


Table 1: For-profit Entity Tiers and Requirements

Tier	Tier Criteria	Standards Set
Tier 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has public accountability (as defined); or Is a for-profit public sector entity that has total expenses >\$30 million 	NZ IFRS
Tier 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Is a for-profit public sector entity that has total expenses ≤\$30 million <p><i>and elects to be in Tier 2.</i></p>	NZ IFRS RDR

37 The way the for-profit tier structure works under XRB A1 is that all entities are initially in Tier 1 as the default. However, if they meet the criteria to be in Tier 2, and elect to be in that tier, then they may report in accordance with the requirements for Tier 2.

- 38 Two groups of for-profit entities must report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements: entities that have “public accountability”; and public sector for-profit entities that have total expenses greater than \$30 million. For the purpose of the tier criteria, public accountability has a particular technical meaning which is defined in XRB A1. In general, an entity has public accountability¹⁵ if it:
- (a) Meets the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) definition of public accountability, i.e.:
 - (i) its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or
 - (ii) it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion); or
 - (b) It is deemed to be so under XRB A1, with the following being so deemed: an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 or by a notice issued by the Financial Markets Authority (FMA) under that Act.
- 39 However, XRB A1 also recognises that the FMA, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, has the ability to vary the level of public accountability of an FMC reporting entity. Therefore, an FMC reporting entity is not considered to have public accountability under the second part of the IASB definition (see paragraph 38(a)(ii)) unless it is deemed to have public accountability (see paragraph 38(b)). Figure 2 provides a decision tree to assist an entity identify whether it has public accountability.

Figure 2: Identifying Public Accountability




- 40 Any entity that does not meet the Tier 1 criteria may elect to be in Tier 2 although it need not do so: it can report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements if it so wishes. There are no additional criteria for Tier 2. This means any entity that is not required to be in Tier 1 may be in Tier 2, including large non-public sector

¹⁵ XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework* defines public accountability.

for-profit entities that do not have public accountability (as defined). Figure 3 provides a decision tree to assist in this tier selection process.

Figure 3: Identify the Applicable Standards – Step 2 (For-profit Entities)



For-profit Requirements

- 41 The requirements to be applied by for-profit entities are the New Zealand equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards (NZ IFRS). NZ IFRS is the set of standards and interpretations issued by the NZASB. It comprises New Zealand equivalents to:

- (a) International Financial Reporting Standards;
- (b) International Accounting Standards;
- (c) IFRIC Interpretations; and
- (d) SIC Interpretations.

The NZ IFRS set of standards also includes a small number of (domestic) New Zealand Financial Reporting Standards (FRSs) and the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework*.¹⁶

- 42 NZ IFRS is substantively identical to the IFRS® Standards on which it is based and is generally harmonised with the Australian equivalent requirements. NZ IFRS follows the format of the pronouncements issued by the IASB and, since IFRS Standards were adopted in New Zealand, have the same effective dates as their corresponding IFRS Standards.

¹⁶ The 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* (issued May 2018) is effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2020. From the point at which the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* becomes effective, entities will refer to New Zealand Equivalent to the IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (2010) (*NZ Framework*) in the limited circumstances that they are required to do so by the relevant NZ IFRS.

NZ IFRS (Tier 1)

- 43 The requirements to be applied by Tier 1 for-profit entities are the requirements in NZ IFRS, excluding any requirements that apply only to Tier 2 entities (see paragraphs 47–50 below). In adapting an IFRS Standard for issue as a New Zealand pronouncement for Tier 1 for-profit entities, the NZASB has adopted the following protocols:
- (a) Recognition and measurement requirements in an IFRS Standard cannot be amended;
 - (b) Disclosure requirements cannot be reduced; and
 - (c) Additional disclosure requirements can be added (these are included in a separate standard: FRS-44 *New Zealand Additional Disclosures*).
- 44 The topics addressed in the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* are:
- (a) Status and purpose of the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework*;
 - (b) Chapter 1—The Objective of General Purpose Financial Reporting;
 - (c) Chapter 2—Qualitative Characteristics of Useful Financial Information;
 - (d) Chapter 3—Financial Statements and the Reporting Entity;
 - (e) Chapter 4—The Elements of Financial Statements;
 - (f) Chapter 5—Recognition and Derecognition;
 - (g) Chapter 6—Measurement;
 - (h) Chapter 7—Presentation and Disclosure; and
 - (i) Chapter 8—Concepts of Capital and Capital Maintenance.
- 45 In the absence of an accounting standard, the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* assists the NZASB in developing a New Zealand FRS or in its role in commenting on the development of an IFRS Standard by the IASB. The 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* also assists preparers of GPFR. For example, it may assist preparers in developing consistent accounting policies when dealing with topics that have yet to form the subject of an NZ IFRS or when a standard allows a choice of accounting policy.
- 46 Where a for-profit entity prepares its financial report in compliance with NZ IFRS, the entity will be able to assert compliance with IFRS.

NZ IFRS RDR (Tier 2)

- 47 The requirements to be applied by Tier 2 for-profit entities are NZ IFRS Reduced Disclosure Regime (RDR concessions) (NZ IFRS RDR). NZ IFRS RDR has the same recognition and measurement requirements as NZ IFRS but with significantly reduced disclosure requirements. The reduced disclosures are consistent, and are substantially harmonised, with the requirements in Australia for Tier 2 entities.
- 48 Tier 2 entities may apply whichever of the disclosure concessions they wish – either some or all.
- 49 The RDR concessions are incorporated in NZ IFRS by way of an asterisk (*) or by an additional RDR paragraph. Accordingly, NZ IFRS and NZ IFRS RDR form one physical set of standards.
- 50 For-profit entities applying NZ IFRS RDR are not able to assert compliance with IFRS.

Moving between Tiers

- 51 It is expected that for-profit entities will move between the two tiers over time either as a matter of choice, as their circumstances change or if they meet the criteria for Tier 1. XRB A1 therefore sets out the timing and other requirements for moving between tiers.
- 52 An entity moving from Tier 1 to Tier 2 because it no longer meets the public accountability criteria must continue to apply the Tier 1 accounting requirements in the period in which it ceases to meet the public accountability criteria. A for-profit public sector entity moving from Tier 1 to Tier 2 because it no longer meets the size criterion (i.e. it is no longer large) may do so in the annual or interim reporting period in which it is no longer large, and elects to do so.

- 53 An entity moving from Tier 2 to Tier 1 as a result of the entity meeting the public accountability criteria must apply the Tier 1 accounting requirements in the annual or interim reporting period that this occurs. A for-profit public sector entity moving from Tier 2 to Tier 1 because it meets the size criterion (i.e. it becomes large) may continue to apply Tier 2 accounting requirements in the period in which it becomes large, unless it was applying Tier 1 accounting requirements in the period before it became large. Under those circumstances the entity continues to apply Tier 1 accounting requirements.
- 54 Entities moving from Tier 2 to Tier 1 must apply NZ IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards*.
- 55 A move between Tier 2 and Tier 1 is unlikely to result in changes to an entity's recognition or measurement accounting policies as the recognition and measurement requirements in NZ IFRS and NZ IFRS RDR are identical.

Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: PBEs

- 56 The approach to identifying the applicable accounting requirements for a PBE set out in XRB A1 is as follows.

Tier Structure

- 57 XRB A1 contains a four-tier structure for PBEs. The requirements an entity applies depend on the tier that it reports under. The tiers, criteria for the tiers, and the requirements applying to each PBE tier are summarised in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Public Benefit Entity Tiers and Requirements

Tier	Tier Criteria	Standards Set
Tier 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has public accountability (as defined); or Has total expenses (including grants) > \$30 million 	PBE Standards
Tier 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Has total expenses (including grants) ≤ \$30 million <i>and elects to be in Tier 2.</i> 	PBE Standards (RDR)
Tier 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Has expenses ≤ \$2 million <i>and elects to be in Tier 3.</i> 	PBE SFR-A (PS) or PBE SFR-A (NFP)
Tier 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Has total operating payments of less than \$125,000 in each of the previous two reporting periods (i.e. not a specified not-for-profit entity); and Is permitted by an enactment to comply with a non-GAAP Standard <i>and elects to be in Tier 4.</i> 	PBE SFR-C (PS) or PBE SFR-C (NFP)

- 58 The way the PBE tier structure works under XRB A1 is that all entities are initially in Tier 1 as the default. However, if they meet the criteria to be in another tier, and elect to be in that other tier, then they may report in accordance with the requirements of the lower tier.
- 59 Two groups of PBEs must report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements: entities that have public accountability; and entities that have total expenses greater than \$30 million.


- 60 For the purpose of the tier criteria, public accountability has a particular technical meaning which is defined in XRB A1. The definition, is the same as that used for the for-profit tier structure. In general, an entity has public accountability if it:
- (a) Meets the IASB definition of public accountability, i.e.:
 - (i) its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or
 - (ii) it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion); and
 - (b) Is deemed to be so under XRB A1, with the following being so deemed: an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 or by a notice issued by the FMA under that Act.
- 61 However, XRB A1 also recognises that the FMA, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, has the ability to vary the level of public accountability of an FMC reporting entity. Therefore, an FMC reporting entity is not considered to have public accountability under the second part of the IASB definition (see paragraph 60(a)(ii)) unless it is deemed to have public accountability (see paragraph 60(b)). Figure 2 provides a decision tree to assist an entity identify whether it has public accountability.
- 62 It is important to note that the term public accountability is used in the tier framework in a particular technical way. This technical meaning is quite different from the way in which the term “publicly accountable” is normally used in the PBE context and in which it was used prior to 2011 in the Accounting Standards Framework.
- 63 One element of the Tier 1 public accountability test is where entities hold assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of their primary businesses. Applying this test in a PBE context will require the application of judgement. In doing so it is important to consider the three aspects of the test: the assets must be held in a fiduciary capacity; they must be held for a broad group of persons or organisations that are external to the reporting entity (and who are not involved in its management); and the assets must be held as part of the entity’s primary business. An example of a situation where these three aspects would typically be met is life insurance or superannuation schemes.
- 64 Some PBEs hold assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders but do so in a way that is incidental to their primary business. In the public sector context, this is typically the case for central government entities that hold and/or manage trust money under the Public Finance Act 1989 (for example the Department of Corrections holds money for inmates). In the majority of cases the holding or management of money is not the entity’s primary business (i.e. its primary function) and therefore would not result in the entity meeting the public accountability test.
- 65 In the not-for-profit PBE context, this is typically the case where an entity that holds and/or manages trust money entrusted to it by a client, customer or member who is not involved in the management of the entity (for example, welfare benefits held on behalf of beneficiaries as part of the entity providing welfare services to the beneficiaries). In the majority of cases the holding or management of money is not the entity’s primary business (i.e. its primary function) and therefore would not result in the entity meeting the public accountability test.
- 66 Any entity that does not meet the Tier 1 criteria may elect to be in a lower tier (provided it meets the criteria for the lower tier) although it need not do so; it can report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements (the requirements of PBE Standards in full) if it so wishes. In fact an entity can elect to be in any of the four tiers provided that it meets the criteria for the tier under which it is electing to report. However, a PBE can only report under Tier 4 if it is not a specified not-for-profit entity (that is, its total operating payments¹⁷ (excluding capital payments) for each of the two preceding accounting periods are \$125,000 or less) and is permitted by its governing legislation to report in accordance with non-GAAP standards issued by the XRB.
- 67 For the purposes of the size criterion in the PBE tier structure, “total expenses” comprises all expenses reported by the entity including any losses incurred, any grants made by the entity, and any net expense

¹⁷ For this purpose, total operating payments means the combined operating payments of the entity and all its controlled entities.

resulting from any offset of revenue and expenses allowed by a PBE Standard. However, it does not include an expense included in “other comprehensive revenue or expense” where this is reported (Tier 1 and Tier 2).

68 Figure 4 provides a decision tree to assist PBEs in the tier selection process.

Figure 4: Identify the Applicable Accounting Requirements – Step 2 (PBEs)



Accounting Requirements for PBEs

PBE Standards (Tier 1)

- 69 The requirements to be applied by Tier 1 PBEs are the PBE Standards, excluding any RDR concessions.
- 70 The bulk of the PBE Standards are based substantially on International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) issued by the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board (IPSASB). IPSAS are, in turn, based substantially on IFRS Standards.
- 71 However, PBE Standards also include certain standards based on NZ IFRS and some domestic FRSs (for example, to cover gaps in accounting requirements not covered by IPSAS). All the standards have the

prefix “PBE” to identify them. The PBE Standards therefore comprise a combination of PBE IPSAS, PBE IFRS, PBE IAS and PBE FRS.

- 72 Accompanying the PBE Standards is the *Public Benefit Entities’ Conceptual Framework* (PBE Conceptual Framework). The PBE Conceptual Framework establishes the concepts that underpin general purpose financial reporting by public benefit entities. The PBE Conceptual Framework sets out:
- (a) The role and authority of the PBE Conceptual Framework;
 - (b) The objectives and users of GPFR;
 - (c) The qualitative characteristics of useful information;
 - (d) The key characteristic of a reporting entity;
 - (e) The elements in GPFR;
 - (f) The criteria for recognition of an element;
 - (g) The concepts for selection of measurement bases; and
 - (h) The concepts applicable to presentation of information in GPFR.
- 73 In the absence of an accounting standard, the PBE Conceptual Framework assists the NZASB in developing PBE Standards and in commenting on the development of IPSAS by the IPSASB. The PBE Conceptual Framework also assists preparers of GPFR. For example, it may assist preparers in developing consistent accounting policies when dealing with topics that have yet to form the subject of a PBE Standard or when a standard allows a choice of accounting policy.

PBE Standards RDR (Tier 2)

- 74 The requirements to be applied by a Tier 2 PBE are PBE Standards Reduced Disclosure Regime (PBE Standards RDR). PBE Standards RDR have the same recognition and measurement requirements as PBE Standards. However, they contain reduced disclosures which are substantially aligned with the reduced disclosures in NZ IFRS RDR.
- 75 Tier 2 entities may apply whichever of the disclosure concessions they wish – either some or all.
- 76 The RDR concessions are incorporated in the PBE Standards by way of an asterisk (*) or by an additional RDR paragraph. Accordingly, PBE Standards and PBE Standards RDR form one physical set of standards.

PBE SFR-A (Tier 3)

- 77 Separate accounting requirements are to be applied by Tier 3 public sector PBEs and Tier 3 not-for-profit PBEs.
- 78 In the public sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Accrual (Public Sector) (PBE SFR-A (PS)). In the not-for profit sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Accrual (Not-for-profit) (PBE SFR-A (NFP)).
- 79 Although based on Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBE Standards, the requirements in PBE SFR-A (PS) and PBE SFR-A (NFP) are substantially reduced and simplified in nature. The Tier 3 requirements require the preparation of a “Performance Report” covering both financial and non-financial information.
- 80 The Tier 3 requirements are accompanied by Explanatory Guides containing optional templates and guidance notes to assist Tier 3 entities to apply their respective requirements.

PBE SFR-C (Tier 4)

- 81 Separate accounting requirements are to be applied by Tier 4 public sector PBEs and Tier 4 not-for-profit PBEs.
- 82 In the public sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Cash (Public Sector) (PBE SFR-C (PS)). In the not-for profit sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Cash (Not-for-profit) (PBE SFR-C (NFP)).
- 83 The Tier 4 requirements also require the preparation of a Performance Report covering both financial and non-financial information, but with the financial information reported using the cash basis of accounting.

- 84 The Tier 4 requirements are also accompanied by Explanatory Guides containing optional templates and guidance notes to assist Tier 4 entities to apply their respective requirements.

Moving between Tiers

- 85 It is expected that PBEs will move between tiers over time either by choice, or as their circumstances change and they no longer meet the criteria for the tier under which they report. XRB A1 therefore sets out the timing and other criteria for moving between tiers:
- An entity that meets the criteria to report under a lower tier is generally permitted to move to the lower tier in the annual or interim reporting period in which it meets the lower tier's criteria, and elects to report under the lower tier. However, an entity that no longer meets the public accountability criteria must continue to apply Tier 1 accounting requirements in the period in which it fails to meet those criteria;
 - An entity moving from a lower tier to a higher tier is generally permitted one or two annual reporting periods before it is required to apply the higher tier's accounting standards. One exception is where an entity fails to meet the lower tier's criteria because it now has public accountability (as defined). In that instance, the entity must apply the Tier 1 accounting requirements in the annual or interim reporting period in which it meets the public accountability criteria; and
 - A Tier 2 PBE that becomes large may continue to report under Tier 2 accounting requirements in the annual and interim reporting periods in which it becomes large unless it was reporting under Tier 1 requirements in the annual period immediately before it became large.
- 86 XRB A1 also sets out the "first-time adoption" requirements that must be applied when an entity first moves into a particular tier: a Tier 3 or Tier 4 PBE that subsequently applies Tier 1 or Tier 2 PBE Accounting Requirements is required to apply PBE FRS 47 *First-time Adoption of PBE Standards by Entities Other Than Those Previously Applying NZ IFRS*.



EXPLANATORY GUIDE A1: GUIDE TO APPLICATION OF THE ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FRAMEWORK (EG A1)

Issued by the External Reporting Board

April 2016

Relevant to reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016

This Explanatory Guide outlines the reporting requirements for entities that have a statutory obligation (or optionally elect under an enactment) to prepare general purpose financial reports in accordance with standards issued by the External Reporting Board (XRB). It also sets out the roles and functions of the XRB and its sub-Board, the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board (NZASB), in issuing accounting standards and authoritative notices.

XRB A1 Application of the Accounting Standards Framework (XRB A1), issued in December 2015, gives effect to the Accounting Standards Framework on completion of its staged implementation. XRB A1 is applicable ~~to~~^{by} all reporting entities for reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016, with early application permitted from 1 April 2015.

This Explanatory Guide is based on Standard XRB A1. It is an explanatory document and has no legal status. It was issued in April 2016 and updated in December 2017, ~~and~~ June 2018, ~~and~~ July 2019 and October 2019.

COPYRIGHT

© External Reporting Board (XRB) 2016

This XRB Explanatory Guide contains copyright material.

Reproduction in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within New Zealand should be addressed to the Chief Executive, External Reporting Board at the following email address: enquiries@xrb.govt.nz

ISBN 978-0-947505-07-3

CONTENTS

EXPLANATORY GUIDE A1: GUIDE TO APPLICATION OF THE ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FRAMEWORK (EG A1)

	<i>Paragraphs</i>
1. Introduction	1–57
Purpose and Content of this Explanatory Guide	1–34
The Accounting Standards Framework	54–7
2. Roles of the XRB Board and the NZASB	68–113
3. Legislative Framework	124–2830
Requirement to Prepare	124–157
Definition of GAAP	168–179
Definition of “Non-GAAP Standard”	1820–202
General Purpose Financial Reports	213–235
Types of Documents Issued by the XRB	246–2830
4. Accounting Requirements to be Applied	2931–8688
Step 1: Determine W whether a For- p Profit Entity or a Public Benefit Entity (PBE)	302–347
Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: For- p Profit Entities	358–557
Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: PBEs	568–8688

1. Introduction

Purpose and Content of this Explanatory Guide

- ¹ This Explanatory Guide (EG) provides an overview of the reporting requirements for entities that have a statutory obligation (or that optionally elect under an enactment) to prepare general purpose financial reports (GPFR) in accordance with XRB standards.
- ² The contents of this Explanatory Guide reflect the requirements of Standard XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework* (XRB A1). XRB A1 is the overarching standard issued by the External Reporting Board (XRB Board) to give effect to the Accounting Standards Framework.¹ It is relevant for reporting by entities for annual or interim reporting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016, with early application permitted for all reporting entities for reporting periods beginning on or after 1 April 2015.²
- ²³ This Explanatory Guide has been issued for explanatory purposes only and has no legal status. It covers five main topics:
- The roles and responsibilities of the ~~External Reporting Board (XRB Board)~~, and its sub-Board the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board (NZASB);
 - The legal requirement on certain entities to prepare financial reports that comply with generally accepted accounting practice (GAAP) and what GAAP consists of;
 - The legal requirement that permits certain public sector public benefit entities and not-for-profit public benefit entities that are not “specified not-for-profit entities” to prepare financial reports in accordance with that apply “non-GAAP standards”³ issued by the XRB and what “non-GAAP standards” consist of;
 - The standards and other documents issued by the XRB and the NZASB, and the legal standing of those documents; and
 - The standards and other pronouncements that reporting entities are required to comply with, including the tiers and sets of standards that apply to particular reporting entities.
- ³⁴ A separate Explanatory Guide (EG A2 *Overview of the Accounting Standard-Setting Process*) provides an overview of the process that the XRB Board expects the NZASB to follow in developing, or adopting, and issuing accounting standards.

The Accounting Standards Framework

- ⁴⁵ In April 2012, the Minister of Commerce approved the Accounting Standards Framework⁴ submitted by the XRB Board. The Accounting Standards Framework (which was updated in December 2015)⁵ reflects

¹ Previous versions of EG A1 relate to previous versions of XRB A1 and apply to entities reporting for annual or interim reporting periods covered by those versions of XRB A1. They are available at <https://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/archived-accounting-standards/general-standards-and-explanatory-guides-current/>

² Previous versions of EG A1 relate to previous versions of XRB A1 and apply to entities reporting for annual or interim reporting periods covered by those versions of XRB A1. The previous versions of XRB A1 are:

- XRB A1 (For-profit Entities Update) effective from 1 December 2012 to 30 June 2014;
- XRB A1 ((For-profit Entities plus Public Sector Public Benefit Entities Update) effective from 1 July 2014 to 31 March 2015;
- XRB A1 (For-profit Entities plus Public Sector Public Benefit Entities plus Not-for-profit Entities Update) effective from 1 April 2015; and
- XRB A1 (For-profit Entities plus Public Sector Public Benefit Entities plus Not-for-profit Entities minus For-profit Tier 3 and Tier 4 Update) effective from 1 April 2015.

³ Under the Financial Reporting Act 2013, a financial reporting standard issued by the XRB is a “non-GAAP standard” if it is stated in the standard to be a non-GAAP standard.

⁴ The Accounting Standards Framework as approved by the Minister in April 2012 is available on the XRB website: <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/why-report/history/>

⁵ The updated version of the Accounting Standards Framework is available on the XRB website: <http://www.xrb.govt.nz/why-report/accounting-standards-framework/>

the XRB Board's decision to adopt a multi-standards approach in New Zealand.⁶ The Accounting Standards Framework comprises different sets of accounting standards for for-profit entities and for public benefit entities (PBEs), together with a formalised tier structure. The tier structure is designed to better balance the relative costs and benefits of reporting by entities of different sizes.

- ~~5 The Accounting Standards Framework was implemented in three stages:~~
- ~~• Stage 1, which mainly involved changes to the accounting standards for for-profit entities, was effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning on or after 1 December 2012;~~
 - ~~• Stage 2, which mainly involved changes to the accounting standards for public sector PBEs, was effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning on or after 1 July 2014; and~~
 - ~~• Stage 3, which mainly involved changes to the accounting standards for (private) not for profit entities, was effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning on or after 1 April 2015.~~
- ~~6 XRB A1 is the overarching standard issued by the XRB Board to give effect to the Accounting Standards Framework. Different versions of XRB A1 were issued by the XRB Board at each stage of the implementation of the Accounting Standards Framework, accompanied by an appropriate version of EG A1.~~
- ~~7 The most recent version of XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework*, issued in December 2015, gives effect to the Accounting Standards Framework on completion of its staged implementation. This version of EG A1 therefore reflects the requirements of this most recent version of XRB A1.~~

⁶ The decision to adopt a multi-standards approach followed an extensive consultation and deliberation process undertaken by the XRB Board and its predecessor, the Accounting Standards Review Board (ASRB). Further information about this process and the rationale for the multi-standards approach is available on the XRB website:
<http://www.xrb.govt.nz/why-report/history/>

2. Roles of the XRB Board and the NZASB

86 The XRB is an independent Crown Entity with continued existence under section 11 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and is subject to the provisions of the Crown Entities Act 2004. For the purposes of this Explanatory Guide, the organisation as a whole is referred to as the XRB while the Board itself is referred to as the XRB Board.

97 The functions of the XRB are specified in the Financial Reporting Act 2013. In relation to accounting standards they comprise:

- Developing and issuing accounting standards and amendments to accounting standards for application by entities that have a statutory obligation (or that optionally elect under an enactment) to prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting practice (GAAP) or “non-GAAP standards” issued by the XRB (section 12(a));
- Developing and issuing authoritative notices for the purposes of the definition of GAAP (section 12(c));
- Developing and implementing strategies for the issue of accounting standards in order to provide a framework for the XRB’s overall direction in the setting of standards (section 12(d)), including establishing a system for tiers of financial reporting that imposes different financial reporting requirements in respect of different classes of relevant entities in order to ensure that the requirements that apply in respect of those entities are appropriate (section 29);
- Liaising with international or national organisations that have responsibility for accounting standard setting (section 12(e)); and
- Consulting with persons or organisations (or their representatives) who, in the opinion of the XRB Board, would be affected by the issue or amendment of an accounting standard or authoritative notice (section 22).

108 Although all the functions and responsibilities of the XRB ultimately rest with the XRB Board, the XRB Board has delegated the responsibility for accounting standard setting to a committee (generally referred to as a sub-board), the NZASB. The NZASB has been established in accordance with the powers vested in the XRB Board under Schedule 5 of the Crown Entities Act 2004.

119 Accordingly, the role of the XRB Board under these arrangements is three-fold: organisational governance; financial reporting strategy setting; and appointing and monitoring the performance of the NZASB. The financial reporting strategy setting function (required by section 12(d) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013) includes the establishment (and, if necessary, the revision) of the Accounting Standards Framework.

120 The NZASB is responsible for developing and issuing accounting standards and authoritative notices. In doing so the NZASB must:

- Operate within the Accounting Standards Framework established by the XRB Board;
- Liaise with the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) with the objective of harmonising accounting standards in Australia and New Zealand for for-profit entities; and
- Ensure an appropriate consultation process (due process) is followed – see EG A2 *Overview of the Accounting Standard Setting Process* for an explanation of these requirements.

131 The NZASB operates under delegated authority from the XRB Board.

3. Legislative Framework

Requirement to Prepare

1412 Various pieces of legislation require entities to prepare ~~general purpose financial reports (GPFR)~~ that comply with XRB standards. These “reporting entities”⁷ are required to comply with XRB standards that are GAAP or “non-GAAP standards”² issued by the XRB.

1513 Entities required to comply with GAAP include:

- “FMC reporting entities”⁸ as defined by the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013;
- Large companies^{9,10} (with total assets of over \$60 million or total revenue of over \$30 million in the two preceding reporting periods) under the Companies Act 1993;
- Large overseas companies,¹⁰ large subsidiaries of overseas companies and large New Zealand businesses of large overseas companies (with total assets of over \$20 million or total revenue of over \$10 million in the two preceding reporting periods) under the Companies Act 1993;
- Local authorities and council controlled organisations under the Local Government Act 2002;
- State sector bodies under the Public Finance Act 1989 and the Crown Entities Act 2004;
- Other public entities under the Public Audit Act 2001;
- Registered charitable entities that are “specified not-for-profit entities”² under the Charities Act 2005;¹¹ and
- Large registered friendly societies, large registered industrial and provident societies, large partnerships and large limited partnerships (with total assets of over \$60 million or total revenue of over \$30 million in the two preceding reporting periods) under their respective governing legislation.

1614 Most small and medium sized for-profit entities have no obligation to prepare financial statements that comply with GAAP. However, entities may optionally elect under an enactment to prepare financial reports in accordance with GAAP, for example, a company that has fewer than 10 shareholders.

1715 Certain public sector PBEs and not-for-profit PBEs that do not meet the criteria to be “specified not-for-profit entities”² are permitted by legislation to prepare financial statements in accordance with “non-GAAP standards”² issued by the XRB (i.e. cash-based standards). “Specified not-for-profit entities”² are required to prepare financial statements that comply with GAAP.

⁷ “Reporting entity” is defined in the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and means an entity whose financial statements or, group financial statements, reports, or other information are required by any enactment to comply, or be prepared in accordance, with generally accepted accounting practice or “non-GAAP standards”².

⁸ “FMC reporting entity”² is defined in the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 and includes:

- an issuer of a regulated product;
- a person who holds a licence to provide certain market services under Part 6 of the Act;
- a licensed supervisor;
- a listed issuer;
- an operator of a licensed market;
- a recipient of money from a conduit issuer;
- a registered bank;
- a licensed insurer;
- a credit union;
- a building society; and
- an FMC reporting entity under clause 27A of Schedule 1.

⁹ Companies, including not-for-profit companies that are not registered charitable entities under the Charities Act 2005.

¹⁰ Except for large companies or large overseas companies if they are a subsidiary of another New Zealand entity that is required to prepare group financial statements.

¹¹ The reporting provisions relating to registered charities came into force on 1 April 2015.

Definition of GAAP

~~1816~~ GAAP is defined in section 8 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and means compliance with:

- (a) Applicable accounting standards; and
- (b) Authoritative ~~N~~otices.

~~1917~~ In accordance with this definition, accounting standards issued by the XRB Board or the NZASB are the primary indicators of GAAP in New Zealand. They set out the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure requirements for transactions and events that are important in the preparation of GPFR, including those that may arise in specific industries.

Definition of “Non-GAAP Standard”

~~2018~~ Some enactments permit certain entities that would otherwise be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with GAAP to apply a “non-GAAP standard” issued by the XRB. In order to do so, an entity must not be a “specified not-for-profit entity”. Standard XRB A2 *Meaning of Specified Statutory Size Thresholds* defines a “specified not-for-profit entity” as an entity whose total operating payments for each of the two preceding accounting periods are \$125,000 or more. For the purpose of applying the legislative size threshold, where an entity has controlled entities¹², total operating payments means the combined operating payments of the entity and all its controlled entities.

~~2119~~ “Non-GAAP standard” is defined in section 5 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 and means a financial reporting standard issued by the XRB that is stated in the standard to be a “non-GAAP standard”. All the “non-GAAP standards” issued by the XRB are cash-based standards.

~~2220~~ Legislation that allow~~s~~ entities to apply “non-GAAP standards” issued by the XRB include:

- Certain public sector entities under the Burial and Cremation Act 1964, the Maori Purposes Fund Act 1934-35, the Patriotic and Canteen Funds Act 1947, the Reserves Act 1977 and the Reserves and Other Lands Disposal Act 1995; and
- Registered charitable entities, friendly societies and other entities under the Charities Act 2005, the Friendly Societies and Credit Unions Act 1982 and the Agricultural and Pastoral Societies Act 1908.

General Purpose Financial Reports

~~2321~~ ~~General Purpose Financial Reports (GPFR)~~ comprise financial statements accompanied by:

- (a) Non-financial information, such as service performance information; and
- (b) Explanatory material, including that required by legislation.

~~2422~~ The objective of GPFR is to provide information to users for decision-making or accountability purposes where those users are generally unable to obtain the information they require. By definition therefore GPFR seeks to provide information to a range of general purpose users with different interests in that information. GAAP and “non-GAAP standards” issued by the XRB reflect this.¹³

~~2523~~ By contrast where users have the power to specify the information to be included in financial reports, these financial reports are considered to be special purpose financial reports (SPFR). Users that can usually request SPFR include major suppliers of funds such as banks and financial institutions, government regulatory agencies such as Inland Revenue or ~~the Department of Statistics~~ New Zealand, and credit rating agencies. The standards issued by the XRB are not intended to apply to SPFR.

¹² The combined operating payments of the entity and all its controlled entities excludes any payments between the entity and the controlled entities and/or between the controlled entities.

¹³ The objective of GPFR, the users of GPFR and the information needs of such users are discussed in detail in the New Zealand equivalent to the IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* issued in 2018 (2018 NZ *Conceptual Framework*) and the *Public Benefit Entities' Conceptual Framework* (PBE *Conceptual Framework*).

Types of Documents Issued by the XRB

~~26~~24 As outlined above, under section 12 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013, the XRB is responsible for issuing accounting standards (including “non-GAAP standards”) and authoritative notices.

~~27~~25 Compliance with GAAP or “non-GAAP standards” issued by the XRB is a legal requirement for certain entities and XRB standards therefore have legal standing. Under section 25 of the Financial Reporting Act 2013, accounting standards and authoritative notices (including any amendments or revocations) issued under section 12 are classified as disallowable instruments for the purposes of the Legislation Act 2012.

~~28~~26 However, not all the documents issued by the XRB have legal status. Between them, the XRB Board and the NZASB issue four types of documents:

- Accounting standards (including “non-GAAP standards”) and related interpretations which are issued under section 12(a) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013;
- Documents or pronouncements, such as conceptual frameworks ~~s-documents~~, that are issued as ~~A~~authoritative ~~N~~otices under section 12(c) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013;
- Consultation documents, such as consultation papers and exposure drafts, that have no legal status; and
- Explanatory documents (such as this Explanatory Guide) that have no legal status.

Only the documents issued under sections 12(a) and 12(c) of the Financial Reporting Act 2013 have legal standing and form part of GAAP or “non-GAAP standards”.

~~29~~27 While GAAP comprises applicable accounting standards and authoritative notices, the authoritative notices have a “lower” level of authority than accounting standards. For example, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, failure to comply with an authoritative notice does not subject an FMC reporting entity to pecuniary penalties.

~~30~~28 The XRB Board considers it important that the legal status of each document issued by the XRB Board or the NZASB is clear. Accordingly, its policy is to indicate on the front page of each document the legal standing of that document. In addition, each “non-GAAP standard” issued by the XRB states that it is a “non-GAAP standard”.

4. Accounting Requirements to be Applied

~~34~~²⁹ There are multiple sets of accounting requirements that apply to New Zealand reporting entities preparing GPFR in accordance with GAAP or “non-GAAP standards” issued by the XRB for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2016. Establishing exactly which set of those accounting requirements is applicable to a particular reporting entity can be determined in two steps.

Step 1: Determine ~~W~~whether a For-~~p~~Profit Entity or a Public Benefit Entity (PBE)

~~32~~³⁰ The following are the different accounting requirements that apply under the Accounting Standards Framework: NZ IFRS, NZ IFRS RDR, PBE Standards, PBE Standards RDR, PBE SFR-A (PS), PBE SFR-C (PS), PBE SFR-A (NFP) and PBE SFR-C (NFP). Exactly which of these accounting requirements a particular reporting entity must, or may, apply is specified in XRB A1.¹⁴

~~33~~³¹ XRB A1 establishes two broad groups of accounting standards: those to be applied by for-profit entities and those to be applied by public benefit entities (PBEs). Having determined that it is required (or chooses) to prepare financial statements in accordance with XRB standards, an entity’s next step is to determine whether it is a for-profit entity or a PBE.

~~34~~³² The definitions of “for-profit entities”, “public benefit entities (PBEs)”, “public sector PBE” and “not-for-profit PBE” are specified in XRB A1. XRB A1 also contains integral guidance relating to the definitions of for-profit entities and public benefit entities in an Appendix.¹⁵ XRB A1 defines:

- For-profit entities as reporting entities that are not public benefit entities;
- Public benefit entities (PBEs) as reporting entities whose primary objective is to provide goods or services for community or social benefit and where any equity has been provided with a view to supporting that primary objective rather than for a financial return to equity holders;
- Public sector PBEs as PBEs that are public entities as defined in the Public Audit Act 2001, and all Offices of Parliament; and
- Not-for-profit PBEs (NFP PBEs) as PBEs that are not public sector PBEs.

~~35 — The definitions of for profit entity and public benefit entity are unchanged from those used in the previous versions of XRB A1. They are also the same definitions used under the “old” Accounting Standards Framework that applied from 2005 to 2012. As such, there should be no necessity for an entity to change its classification from for profit entity to public benefit entity merely as a result of adopting the latest version of XRB A1.~~

~~36~~³³ Although under the Accounting Standards Framework the term “public benefit entities (PBEs)” refers to both public sector PBEs and not-for-profit PBEs, a distinction has been made between these two categories of PBEs because XRB A1 specifies different accounting requirements for these two categories of PBEs in certain areas.

¹⁴ Standard XRB A1 *Application of the Accounting Standards Framework* is available on the XRB website:



<http://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/for-profit-entities/> or
<http://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/not-for-profit/> or
<http://www.xrb.govt.nz/accounting-standards/public-sector/>

¹⁵ ~~Appendix A When is an Entity a Public Benefit Entity? of XRB A1 was last updated in 2019.~~

37 Step 1 is illustrated in Figure 1 below.

3834

Figure 1: Identify the Entity Type – Step 1



Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: For-pProfit Entities

3935 Having determined whether it is a for-profit entity or a PBE, the second step is to determine which set of accounting requirements is applicable to the entity. The approach to identifying the applicable accounting requirements for a for-profit entity set out in XRB A1 is as follows. ~~In the case of for-profit entities this step operates in the following manner.~~

Tier Structure

~~4036~~ XRB A1 ~~maintains~~ ~~contains~~ a two-tier structure for the for-profit sector. The requirements that an entity applies depends on the tier that it reports under. The tiers, criteria for the tiers, and the requirements applying to each tier are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: For-~~p~~Profit Entity Tiers and Requirements

Tier	Tier Criteria	Standards Set
Tier 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has public accountability (as defined); or Is a for-profit public sector entity that has total expenses >\$30 million 	NZ IFRS
Tier 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Is a for-profit public sector entity that has total expenses ≤\$30 million <p><i>and elects to be in Tier 2.</i></p>	NZ IFRS RDR

~~4137~~ The way the for-profit tier structure works under XRB A1 is that all entities are initially in Tier 1 as a default. However, if they meet the criteria to be in Tier 2, and elect to be in that tier, then they may report in accordance with the requirements ~~of~~ for Tier 2.

~~4238~~ Two groups of for-profit entities must report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements: entities that have “public accountability”; and public sector for-profit entities that have total expenses greater than \$30 million. For the purpose of the tier criteria, public accountability has a particular technical meaning which is defined in XRB A1. In general, an entity has public accountability¹⁶ if it~~the definition has two legs¹⁷~~.

(a) ~~•An entity has public accountability if it~~ Mmeets the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) definition of public accountability, i.e.:

(i) ~~e~~its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or

(ii) ~~e~~it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion); ~~or~~and


(b) ~~•An entity has public accountability if~~ It is deemed to be so under XRB A1, with the following being so deemed: an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 or by a notice issued by the Financial Markets Authority (FMA) under that Act.

~~43~~—However, XRB A1 also recognises that the FMA, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, has the ability to vary the level of public accountability of an FMC reporting entity. Therefore, an FMC reporting entity is not considered to have public accountability under the second part of the IASB definition (see paragraph 38(a)(ii)) unless it is deemed to have public accountability (see paragraph 38(b)). Figure 2 provides a decision tree to assist an entity identify whether it has public accountability.


39



¹⁶ XRB A1 Application of the Accounting Standards Framework ~~sets out the definition of public accountability in detail.~~

¹⁷—XRB A1 Application of the Accounting Standards Framework ~~sets out the definition of “public accountability” in detail.~~

Figure 2: Identifying Public Accountability

4440 Any entity that does not meet the Tier 1 criteria may elect to be in Tier 2 although it need not do so: it can report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements if it so wishes. There are no additional criteria for Tier 2. This means any entity that is not required to be in Tier 1 may be in Tier 2, including large non-public sector for-profit entities that do not have public accountability (as defined). Figure 23 provides a decision tree to assist in this tier selection process.

Figure 23: Identify the Applicable Standards – Step 2 (For-pProfit Entities)



For-profit Requirements

4541 The requirements to be applied by for-profit entities are the New Zealand equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards (NZ IFRS). NZ IFRS is the set of standards and interpretations issued by the NZASB ~~(or approved by the XRB's predecessor body, the ASRB)~~. It comprises New Zealand equivalents to:

- (a) International Financial Reporting Standards;
- (b) International Accounting Standards;
- (c) IFRIC Interpretations; and
- (d) SIC Interpretations.

The NZ IFRS set of standards also includes a small number of (domestic) New Zealand Financial Reporting Standards (FRSs) and the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework*.¹⁸

4642 ~~The NZ IFRS set of standards~~ is substantively identical to the IFRS® Standards on which it is based and is generally harmonised with the Australian equivalent requirements. NZ IFRS follows the format of the pronouncements issued by the IASB and, since IFRS Standards ~~were~~ adopted in New Zealand, have the same effective dates as their corresponding IFRS Standards.

¹⁸ The 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* (issued May 2018) is effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2020. From the point at which the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* becomes effective, entities will refer to New Zealand Equivalent to the IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (2010) (*NZ Framework*) in the limited circumstances that they are required to do so by the relevant NZ IFRS.

NZ IFRS (Tier 1)

~~4743~~ The requirements to be applied by Tier 1 for-profit entities are the requirements ~~of~~ in NZ IFRS, excluding any ~~requirements that apply only to Tier 2 entities (see paragraphs 47–50 below). Reduced Disclosure Requirements (RDR) concessions.~~ In adapting an IFRS ~~Standard~~ for issue as a New Zealand pronouncement for Tier 1 for-profit entities, the NZASB has adopted the following protocols:

- (a) Recognition and measurement requirements in an IFRS Standard cannot be amended;
- (b) Disclosure requirements cannot be reduced; and
- (c) Additional disclosure requirements can be added (these are included in a separate standard: FRS-44 *New Zealand Additional Disclosures*).

~~4844~~ The topics addressed in the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* are:

- (a) Status and purpose of the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework*;
- (b) Chapter 1—The Objective of General Purpose Financial Reporting;
- (c) Chapter 2—Qualitative Characteristics of Useful Financial Information;
- (d) Chapter 3—Financial Statements and the Reporting Entity;
- (e) Chapter 4—The Elements of Financial Statements;
- (f) Chapter 5—Recognition and Derecognition;
- (g) Chapter 6—Measurement;
- (h) Chapter 7—Presentation and Disclosure; and
- (i) Chapter 8—Concepts of Capital and Capital Maintenance.

~~4945~~ In the absence of an accounting standard, the 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* assists the NZASB in developing a New Zealand FRS or in its role in commenting on the development of an IFRS Standard by the IASB. The 2018 *NZ Conceptual Framework* also assists preparers of GPFR. For example, it may assist preparers in developing consistent accounting policies when dealing with topics that have yet to form the subject of an an NZ IFRS or when a ~~S~~standard allows a choice of accounting policy.

~~5046~~ Where a for-profit entity prepares its financial report in compliance with NZ IFRS, the entity will be able to assert compliance with IFRS.

NZ IFRS RDR (Tier 2)

~~5147~~ The requirements to be applied by Tier 2 for-profit entities are ~~the requirements of~~ NZ IFRS with Reduced Disclosure Regime (RDR) concessions (NZ IFRS RDR). NZ IFRS RDR has the same recognition and measurement requirements as NZ IFRS but with significantly reduced disclosure requirements. The reduced disclosures are consistent, ~~with~~ and are substantially harmonised, with the requirements in Australia for Tier 2 entities.¹⁹

~~5248~~ Tier 2 entities may apply whichever of the disclosure concessions they wish – either some or all.

~~5349~~ The ~~NZ IFRS~~ RDR ~~disclosure~~ concessions are incorporated in NZ IFRS by way of an asterisk (*) or by an additional RDR paragraph. Accordingly, NZ IFRS and NZ IFRS RDR form one physical set of standards.

~~5450~~ For-profit entities applying NZ IFRS RDR are not able to assert compliance with IFRS.

Moving between Tiers

~~5551~~ It is expected that for-profit entities will move between the two tiers over time either as a matter of choice, ~~or~~ as their circumstances change or if they meet the criteria for Tier 1. XRB A1 therefore sets out the timing and other requirements for moving between tiers.

~~5652~~ An entity moving from Tier 1 to Tier 2 because it no longer meets the public accountability criteria must continue to apply the Tier 1 accounting requirements in the period in which it ceases to meet the public accountability criteria. A for-profit public sector entity moving from Tier 1 to Tier 2 because it no longer

¹⁹ ~~The NZASB and the AASB are in the process of jointly reviewing the RDR framework.~~

meets the size criterion (i.e. it is no longer large) may do so in the annual or interim reporting period in which it is no longer large, and elects to do so.

~~5753~~ An entity moving from Tier 2 to Tier 1 as a result of the entity meeting the public accountability criteria must apply the Tier 1 accounting requirements in the annual or interim reporting period that this occurs. A for-profit public sector entity moving from Tier 2 to Tier 1 because it meets the size criterion (i.e. it becomes large) may continue to apply Tier 2 accounting requirements in the period in which it becomes large, unless it was applying Tier 1 accounting requirements in the period before it became large. Under those circumstances the entity continues to apply Tier 1 accounting requirements.

~~5854~~ Entities moving ~~from between~~ Tier 2 ~~to and~~ Tier 1 must apply NZ IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of New Zealand Equivalents to International Financial Reporting Standards*.

~~5955~~ A move between Tier 2 and Tier 1 is unlikely to result in changes to an entity's recognition or measurement accounting policies as the recognition and measurement requirements in NZ IFRS and NZ IFRS RDR are identical.

Step 2: Identify Applicable Accounting Requirements: PBEs

~~6056~~ The approach to identifying the applicable accounting requirements for a PBE set out in XRB A1 is as follows.

Tier Structure

~~6157~~ XRB A1 ~~maintains~~contains a four-tier structure for PBEs. The requirements an entity applies depends on the tier that it reports under. The tiers, criteria for the tiers, and the requirements applying to each PBE tier are summarised in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Public Benefit Entity Tiers and Requirements

Tier	Tier Criteria	Standards Set
Tier 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has public accountability (as defined); or Has total expenses (including grants) > \$30 million 	PBE Standards
Tier 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Has total expenses (including grants) ≤ \$30 million <i>and elects to be in Tier 2.</i>	PBE Standards (RDR)
Tier 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Has expenses ≤ \$2 million <i>and elects to be in Tier 3.</i>	PBE SFR-A (PS) or PBE SFR-A (NFP)
Tier 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has no public accountability (as defined); and Has total operating payments of less than \$125,000 in each of the previous two reporting periods (i.e. not a "specified not-for-profit entity²"); and Is permitted by an enactment to comply with a "non-GAAP Standard²" <i>and elects to be in Tier 4.</i>	PBE SFR-C (PS) or PBE SFR-C (NFP <u>PS</u>)

~~6258~~ The way the PBE tier structure works under XRB A1 is that all entities are initially in Tier 1 as ~~the~~a default. However, if they meet the criteria to be in another tier, and elect to be in that other tier, then they may report in accordance with the requirements of the lower tier.

~~6359~~ Two groups of PBEs must report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements: entities that have "public accountability²"; and entities that have total expenses greater than \$30 million.

6460 For the purpose of the tier criteria, public accountability has a particular technical meaning which is defined in XRB A1. ~~Theat definition, which is the same definition as that~~ used for the for-profit tier structure. In general, an entity has public accountability if it has two legs:

- (a) ~~An entity has public accountability if it M~~meets the IASB definition of public accountability, i.e.:
 - (i) ~~o~~its debt or equity instruments are traded in a public market or it is in the process of issuing such instruments for trading in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets); or
 - (ii) ~~o~~it holds assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of its primary businesses (most banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks would meet this second criterion); and
- (b) ~~An entity has public accountability if it I~~is deemed to be so under XRB A1, with the following being so deemed: an FMC reporting entity or a class of FMC reporting entities that is considered to have a higher level of public accountability than other FMC reporting entities under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 or by a notice issued by the FMA under that Act.

61 However, XRB A1 also recognises that the FMA, under the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013, has the ability to vary the level of public accountability of an FMC reporting entity. Therefore, an FMC reporting entity is not considered to have public accountability under the second part of the IASB definition (see paragraph 60(a)(ii)) unless it is deemed to have public accountability (see paragraph 60(b)). Figure 2 provides a decision tree to assist an entity identify whether it has public accountability.

6562 It is important to note that the term “public accountability” is used in the tier framework in a particular technical way. This technical meaning is quite different from the way in which the term “publicly accountable” is normally used in the PBE context and in which it was used prior to 2011 in the Accounting Standards Framework.

6663 One element of the Tier 1 public accountability test is where entities hold assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders as one of ~~their~~its primary businesses. Applying this test in a PBE context will require the application of judgement. In doing so it is important to consider the three aspects of the test: the assets must be held in a fiduciary capacity; they must be held for a broad group of persons or organisations that are external to the reporting entity (and who are not involved in its management); and the assets must be held as part of the entity’s primary business. An example of a situation where these three aspects would typically be met is life insurance or superannuation schemes.

6764 Some PBEs hold assets in a fiduciary capacity for a broad group of outsiders but do so in a way that is incidental to their primary business. In the public sector context, this is typically the case for central government entities that hold and/or manage trust money under the Public Finance Act 1989; ~~(for example the Department of Corrections that holds money for inmates).~~ In the majority of cases the holding or management of money is not the entity’s primary business (i.e. its primary function) and therefore would not result in the entity meeting the public accountability test.

6865 In the not-for-profit PBE context, this is typically the case where an entity that holds and/or manages trust money entrusted to it by a client, customer or member who is not involved in the management of the entity; ~~(for example, welfare benefits held on behalf of beneficiaries as part of the entity providing welfare services to the beneficiaries).~~ In the majority of cases the holding or management of money is not the entity’s primary business (i.e. its primary function) and therefore would not result in the entity meeting the public accountability test.

66 Any entity that does not meet the Tier 1 criteria may elect to be in a lower tier (provided it meets the criteria for the lower tier) although it need not do so; it can report in accordance with Tier 1 requirements (the requirements of PBE Standards in full) if it so wishes. In fact an entity can elect to be in any of the four tiers provided that it meets the criteria for the tier under which it is electing to report. However, a PBE can only report under Tier 4 if it is not a “specified not-for-profit entity” (that is, its total operating payments²⁰ (excluding capital payments) for each of the two preceding accounting periods are \$125,000 or less) and is permitted by its governing legislation to report in accordance with “non-GAAP standards” issued by the XRB.



67 For the purposes of the size criterion in the PBE tier structure, “total expenses” comprises all expenses reported by the entity including any losses incurred, any grants made by the entity, and any net expense


²⁰ For this purpose, total operating payments means the combined operating payments of the entity and all its controlled entities.

resulting from any offset of revenue and expenses allowed by a PBE Standard. However, it does not include an expense included in “other comprehensive revenue or expense” where this is reported (Tier 1 and Tier 2).

6968 Figure 4-3 provides a decision tree to assist PBEs in the tier selection process.

Figure 4-3: Identify the Applicable Accounting Requirements – Step 2 (PBEs)





Accounting Requirements for PBEs

PBE Standards (Tier 1)

7069 The requirements to be applied by Tier 1 PBEs are the PBE Standards, excluding any RDR concessions.

7470 The bulk of the PBE Standards are based substantially on International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) issued by the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board (IPSASB). IPSAS are, in turn, based substantially on IFRS Standards.

7271 However, PBE Standards also include certain standards based on NZ IFRS and some domestic FRSs; ~~(for example, These standards have been included in the PBE Standards to cover gaps in accounting requirements which are not covered by IPSAS) at this time~~. All the standards have the prefix “PBE” to identify them. The PBE Standards therefore comprise a combination of PBE IPSASs, PBE IFRSs, PBE IASs and PBE FRSs.

7372 Accompanying the PBE Standards is the *Public Benefit Entities’ Conceptual Framework* (PBE Conceptual Framework). The PBE Conceptual Framework establishes the concepts that underpin general purpose financial reporting (~~GPFR~~) by public benefit entities. The PBE Conceptual Framework sets out:

- The role and authority of the PBE Conceptual Framework;
- The objectives and users of GPFR;
- The qualitative characteristics of useful information;
- The key characteristic of a reporting entity;
- The elements in GPFR;
- The criteria for recognition of an element;
- The concepts for selection of measurement bases; and
- The concepts applicable to presentation of information in GPFR.

7473 In the absence of an accounting standard, the PBE Conceptual Framework assists the NZASB in developing PBE Standards and in commenting on the development of IPSASs by the IPSASB. ~~–The~~

PBE Conceptual Framework also assists preparers of ~~GPFR~~ general purpose financial reports. For example, it may assist preparers in developing consistent accounting policies when dealing with topics that have yet to form the subject of a PBE Standard or when a standard allows a choice of accounting policy.

~~75 A PBE applying the PBE Standards will assert compliance with PBE Standards and will not normally be able to assert compliance with IFRS. This is because the entity may have transactions for which the recognition and measurement requirements of the PBE Standards differ from those in the equivalent IFRS Standard. However, where an entity has applied the PBE Standards but does not have any (material) transactions to which the recognition and measurement differences apply, and/or has not applied any disclosure differences that may exist, then it may be possible for the entity to assert compliance with IFRS while complying with the requirements of the PBE Standards. In addition, entities unable to assert compliance with IFRS may, if they wish, identify in their financial report (normally in the Notes to the Financial Statements) the extent of compliance with IFRS, and the differences between the financial statements and those that would comply fully with IFRS.~~

PBE Standards RDR (Tier 2)

~~7674~~ The requirements to be applied by a Tier 2 PBE are PBE Standards Reduced Disclosure Regime (PBE Standards RDR). ~~The PBE Standards RDR requirements~~ have the same recognition and measurement requirements as PBE Standards. However, they contain reduced disclosures ~~requirements~~ which are substantially aligned with the reduced disclosures ~~concessions~~ in NZ IFRS RDR.

~~7775~~ A Tier 2 entity ~~ies~~ may apply whichever of the disclosure concessions they wish – either some or all.

~~7876~~ The RDR concessions are incorporated in the PBE Standards ~~and are indicated by way of~~ an asterisk (*) ~~beside the relevant paragraph~~ or by an additional RDR paragraph. ~~Accordingly~~ Physically, PBE Standards and PBE Standards RDR form one physical set of standards.

PBE SFR-A (PS) (Tier 3)

~~7977~~ Separate accounting requirements are to be applied by Tier 3 public sector PBEs and Tier 3 not-for-profit PBEs.

~~8078~~ In the public sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Accrual (Public Sector) (PBE SFR-A (PS)). In the not-for profit sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Accrual (Not-for-profit) (PBE SFR-A (NFP)).

~~8179~~ Although based on Tier 1 and Tier 2 PBE Standards, the requirements in PBE SFR-A (PS) and PBE SFR-A (NFP) are substantially reduced and simplified in nature. The Tier 3 requirements require the preparation of a “Performance Report” covering both financial and non-financial information.

~~8280~~ The Tier 3 requirements are accompanied by Explanatory Guides containing optional templates and guidance notes to assist Tier 3 entities to apply their respective requirements.

PBE SFR-C (PS) (Tier 4)

~~8381~~ Separate accounting requirements are to be applied by Tier 4 public sector PBEs and Tier 4 not-for-profit PBEs.

~~8482~~ In the public sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Cash (Public Sector) (PBE SFR-C (PS)). In the not-for profit sector, this is the Simple Format Reporting Standard – Cash (Not-for-profit) (PBE SFR-C (NFP)).

~~8583~~ The Tier 4 requirements also require the preparation of a “Performance Report” covering both financial and non-financial information, but with the financial information reported using the cash basis of accounting.

~~8684~~ The Tier 4 requirements are also accompanied by Explanatory Guides containing optional templates and guidance notes to assist Tier 4 entities to apply their respective requirements.

Moving between Tiers

8785 It is expected that PBEs will move between tiers over time either by choice, or as their circumstances change and they no longer meet the criteria for the tier under which they report. XRB A1 therefore sets out the timing and other criteria for moving between tiers:

- An entity that meets the criteria to report under a lower tier is generally permitted to move to the lower tier in the annual or interim reporting period in which it meets the lower tier's criteria, and elects to report under the lower tier. However, an entity that no longer meets the public accountability criteria must continue to apply Tier 1 accounting requirements in the period in which it fails to meet those criteria;
- An entity moving from a lower tier to a higher tier is generally permitted one or two annual reporting periods before it is required to apply the higher tier's accounting standards. One exception is where an entity fails to meet the lower tier's criteria because it now has public accountability (as defined). In that instance, the entity must apply the Tier 1 accounting requirements in the annual or interim reporting period in which it meets the public accountability criteria; and
- A Tier 2 PBE that becomes large may continue to report under Tier 2 accounting requirements in the annual and interim reporting periods in which it becomes large unless it was reporting under Tier 1 requirements in the annual period immediately before it became large.

8886 XRB A1 also sets out the “first-time adoption” requirements that must be applied when an entity first moves into a particular tier: a Tier 3 or Tier 4 PBE that subsequently applies Tier 1 or Tier 2 **PBE Accounting Requirements** ~~Standards~~ is required to apply PBE FRS 47 *First-time Adoption of PBE Standards by Entities Other Than Those Previously Applying NZ IFRS*.