



EXTERNAL REPORTING BOARD

Te Kāwai Ārahi Pūrongo Mōwaho

**Policy Approach to
Developing the Suite of
PBE Standards**

Originally Issued September 2013

Updated August 2020

Approved by the XRB Board for application by the New Zealand
Accounting Standards Board from 1 October 2020

© Copyright 2013
External Reporting Board
PO Box 11250
Manners St Central, Wellington 6142
New Zealand
<http://www.xrb.govt.nz>

Permission to reproduce: The copyright owner authorises reproduction of this work, in whole or in part, so long as no charge is made for the supply of copies, and the integrity and attribution of the work as a publication of the External Reporting Board is not interfered with in any way.

Disclaimer: Readers are advised to seek specific advice from an appropriately qualified professional before undertaking any action relying on the contents of this discussion document. The External Reporting Board does not accept any responsibility whether in contract, tort, equity or otherwise for any action taken, or reliance placed on, any part, or all, of the information in this document, or for any error or omission from this document.

Preface

1. In May 2013, the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board (NZASB) issued the PBE Standards – a new suite of standards for Tier 1 and Tier 2 public benefit entities. That initial set of standards, developed in accordance with the External Reporting Board’s (XRB Board’s) *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework*, can be regarded as the “foundation suite” of PBE Standards. It is expected that the foundation suite will be enhanced and developed over time.
2. This *Policy Approach to Developing the Suite of PBE Standards* (the PBE Policy Approach) has been developed by the XRB Board and the NZASB to assist the NZASB in making consistent decisions when developing the suite of PBE Standards i.e. when considering enhancements and developments to the suite of PBE Standards in the future.
3. While primarily based on International Public Sector Accounting Standards, the foundation suite of PBE Standards was developed using a range of source standards: International Public Sector Accounting Standards, selected NZ IFRSs and domestic standards developed within New Zealand. Developments are likely to arise from each of these sources as changes are made to the international standards and as issues specific to New Zealand emerge.
4. Without a policy such as this, it would be possible for significant fluctuations in the NZASB’s approach to developing the suite of PBE Standards to emerge over time. This PBE Policy Approach therefore provides constituents with some certainty about the likely future direction of the suite of PBE Standards, and provides a basis for assessing proposals for changes to the PBE Standards as they are issued by the NZASB. It also assists constituents to understand the likely implications of future changes to the suite of PBE Standards for public benefit entities (PBE) groups containing for-profit entities (commonly referred to as “mixed groups”).

Table of Contents

	Page
Summary	5
1. Introduction	7
2. Basis for Development of PBE Standards	8
2.1 Quality of Financial Reporting	9
2.2 Costs and Benefits	10
3. The Development Principle	11
4. Application of the Development Principle	12
4.1 New or Amended IPSAS	12
4.2 New or Amended NZ IFRS	13
4.3 Domestic Developments	16

Summary

The Development Principle

In accordance with the *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework*, the primary purpose of developing the suite of PBE Standards is to better meet the needs of the PBE user groups (as a whole). In considering whether to initiate a development, the NZASB shall consider the following factors:

- (a) Whether the potential development will lead to higher quality financial reporting by public sector PBEs and not-for-profit (NFP) PBEs, including public sector PBE groups and NFP groups, than would be the case if the development was not made; and
- (b) Whether the benefits of a potential development will outweigh the costs, considering as a minimum:
 - (i) *relevance to the PBE sector as a whole*: for example, where the potential development arises from the issue of a new or amended IFRS[®] Standard, whether the type and incidence of the affected transactions in the PBE sector are similar to the type and incidence of the transactions addressed in the change to the NZ IFRS;¹
 - (ii) *relevance to the not-for-profit or public sector sub-sectors*: whether there are specific user needs in either of the sub-sectors, noting that IPSAS are developed to meet the needs of users of the financial reports of public sector entities;
 - (iii) *coherence*: the impact on the entire suite of PBE Standards (e.g. can the change be adopted without destroying the coherence of the suite);
 - (iv) *the impact on mixed groups*; and
- (c) In the case of a potential development arising from the issue of a new or amended IFRS Standard that is relevant to PBEs, the IPSASB's likely response to the change (e.g. whether the IPSASB is expected to develop an IPSAS on the topic in an acceptable timeframe).²

Application of the Development Principle

The PBE Policy Approach includes a series of rebuttable presumptions in applying the development principle:

- (a) The NZASB will adopt a new or amended IPSAS.
- (b) When the IASB issues an IFRS Standard on a new topic and there is no IPSAS on that topic, the NZASB will not include that IFRS Standard in the suite of PBE Standards, unless the topic is applicable to PBEs and the IPSASB is not expected to develop a new standard on the same topic in an acceptable timeframe.
- (c) In considering the impact on PBE Standards from a change to an NZ IFRS that relates to a topic for which there is an existing PBE Standard based on an IPSAS, the NZASB will consider the factors in the development principle in determining

¹ This policy refers to the work of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and uses registered trademarks of the IFRS Foundation (for example, IFRS[®] Standards, IFRIC[®] Interpretations and IASB[®] papers).

² In this policy document, the term "acceptable timeframe" is considered from the perspective and expectations of users and preparers of PBE financial reports (including those that are mixed groups). The length of time that constitutes an acceptable timeframe will depend on the facts and circumstances in each case based on consideration of the factors in the development principle.

whether to initiate the development of a related change to the PBE Standards ahead of the IPSASB. Particular emphasis in this case needs to be placed on the IPSASB's likely response to the change and whether the IPSASB will address the change in an acceptable timeframe.

- (d) The NZASB will not incorporate minor amendments to an NZ IFRS into the equivalent PBE Standard in advance of the IPSASB considering the change. However, the NZASB may issue an exposure draft that proposes the incorporation of these minor amendments into the equivalent PBE Standards at the same time as the IPSASB issues an exposure draft that proposes the incorporation of these minor amendments into IPSAS.
- (e) In determining whether to initiate the development of a domestic standard for inclusion in the PBE Standards, the NZASB will first consider whether there is an international pronouncement addressing the relevant issue that is applicable in the New Zealand context, or whether an international pronouncement is expected to be developed within an acceptable timeframe.

1. Introduction

1. The PBE Policy Approach addresses the NZASB's approach to developing and enhancing the suite of PBE Standards. References to PBEs in this Policy include references to all PBEs: public sector PBEs and NFP PBEs, and public sector PBE groups and NFP PBE groups.
2. Triggers for possible changes to the PBE Standards are likely to come from three sources:
 - (a) the IPSASB issuing a new IPSAS or a change to an existing IPSAS (section 4.1);
 - (b) the IASB issuing a new IFRS Standard or a change to an existing IFRS Standard (section 4.2); and
 - (c) domestic developments within New Zealand, including both exogenous events such as changes to the legislative framework and endogenous events where the NZASB considers that developments are warranted (section 4.3).
3. The PBE Policy Approach considers the implications of the *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework* for developing the suite of PBE Standards and identifies an approach to be taken for each of the triggers for possible changes to PBE Standards.

2. Basis for Development of PBE Standards

4. The multi-standards approach in the *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework* (issued in April 2012 and updated in December 2015) is designed to better meet the needs of users of the financial statements of PBEs.³ Accounting Standards for Tier1 and Tier 2 entities are based on IPSAS.
 57. An explicit part of the multi-standards approach is the adoption of a set of accounting standards for PBEs other than one based on IFRS.
 58. The only set of international accounting standards, other than IFRS, is IPSAS. IPSAS provides a better basis for PBE reporting for entities in Tier 1 and Tier 2 than does IFRS because it is developed for a wider set of users, notably service recipients as well as resource providers.
 59. The XRB also considers that IPSAS is a credible set of standards. The historical concerns about IPSAS had been the lack of a conceptual framework and the lack of independent governance arrangements for IPSASB (at least compared to those applying to the IASB). These concerns have been addressed by both the IPSASB and the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC – the IPSASB’s parent body). The IPSASB issued its conceptual framework *General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities* in late 2014 and an independent governance body for the IPSASB has been established for the first time in 2015.
 60. However, the XRB continues to consider that it is premature to adopt “pure” IPSAS (in the way that NZ IFRS reflects “pure” IFRS). This is because, among other matters, the IPSAS is developed for public sector entities and the requirements are not always appropriate for not-for-profit entities or do not necessarily fit with the New Zealand regulatory environment. Moreover, IPSAS does not currently represent a complete set of standards. Therefore, a set of PBE Standards has been developed that uses IPSAS as their base. PBE Standards modify IPSAS for any recognition, measurement or disclosure matters considered inappropriate in New Zealand. Such modifications are only made where the IPSAS requirement in question has a material impact on the financial position or performance being reported, and that impact would adversely detract from the financial statements’ usefulness to users.
 61. Since the adoption of the initial Accounting Standards Framework, the XRB, in conjunction with its sub-Board, the New Zealand Accounting Standards Board (NZASB), has developed (and issued in September 2013) a *Policy Approach to Developing the Suite of PBE Standards* [footnote omitted]. The Policy Approach establishes an approach, based on a “development principle” and a series of “rebuttable presumptions”, which are used by the NZASB to determine whether, and when, to make changes to PBE Standards.
 62. PBE Standards include other relevant standards (including domestic standards) appropriate for New Zealand and/or to address topics not covered in IPSAS.
 63. The PBE Standards are also modified to make them relevant, applicable and understandable to the not-for-profit sector preparers and users. Some modification is desirable to enhance their usefulness in the not-for-profit context.

(*New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework*, paragraphs 57–63)
5. The PBE Policy Approach uses the term “development” to encompass any change to the suite of PBE Standards.
6. In considering the appropriateness of potential developments of the suite of PBE Standards, it is necessary to consider these developments in the context of the *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework*, including the impact of any

³ The New Zealand Accounting Standards Frameworks is available at <https://www.xrb.govt.nz/reporting-requirements/accounting-standards-framework/>

developments on the quality of the financial reporting arising from those standards and the trade-off between the benefits of improvements in the quality of the resulting financial reports and the associated costs.

2.1 Quality of Financial Reporting

7. The suite of PBE Standards is designed to meet users' needs by providing high quality financial reporting by PBEs. It follows that any development of PBE Standards should aim to improve the quality of financial reporting. The quality of financial reporting relies on meeting the needs of users of PBE general purpose financial reports (including financial statements), while endeavouring to ensure that the costs arising from a development do not outweigh the benefits.
8. In this context, high quality financial reporting is assessed by reference to the conceptual framework for PBEs, with primary emphasis on the objective of financial reporting and then the qualitative characteristics. A standard is more likely to lead to higher quality financial reporting if it adheres closely to the conceptual framework.
9. The categories of users of financial statements of PBEs and for-profit entities are different. Paragraph 1.2 of the New Zealand Equivalent to the IASB *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (2018 NZ *Conceptual Framework*) identifies users of financial statements as suppliers of resources to the entity, and notes that the decisions that they make are related to providing resources to the entity.
10. In contrast, paragraphs 2.1–2.4 of the *PBE Conceptual Framework* (the New Zealand equivalent of the IPSASB *Conceptual Framework for General Purpose Financial Reporting by Public Sector Entities*) considers a wider group of users of financial reports, being resource providers and service recipients and their representatives, and notes that information is needed for both accountability and decision-making purposes.
11. A development of the suite of PBE Standards will improve the quality of financial reports prepared in compliance with PBE Standards if it improves the accounting for specific transactions by better meeting the objective of financial reporting and the associated qualitative characteristics of financial reporting.
12. Further, high quality financial reporting depends on consistent treatment of similar transactions. For example, it would usually be inappropriate to require different measurement for similar liabilities in similar circumstances. As a result, any development of PBE Standards (including the conceptual framework for PBEs) should ensure that the suite is maintained as a coherent whole.
13. It follows that any developments should ensure that the needs of users are better met than they were prior to the development. Alternatively, the cost-benefit test (see next section) may be met where the needs of users are equally as well served, with a consequent benefit in some other way such as a reduction in the costs of preparing the financial statements.

2.2 Costs and Benefits

14. In considering a potential development of the suite of PBE Standards, the primary purpose and benefit is to improve the information provided to users of PBE financial reports.
15. Benefits need to be considered in relation to the suite of PBE Standards as a whole, in addition to the implications for a specific area of financial reporting. The benefit of aligning the PBE Standards with NZ IFRS to the extent possible is that this will reduce differences between the financial statements of PBEs and for-profit entities. This benefit is particularly relevant to entities that are members of mixed groups and users of PBE financial statements whose familiarity with financial statements arises from experience in the for-profit sector.⁴ However, for other preparers that are not part of a mixed group, there may be additional preparation costs as a result of changes in accounting standards that might not otherwise arise.
16. The PBE Standards are largely based on IPSAS in accordance with the *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework* and, therefore, careful consideration is required before making any change to a PBE Standard based on an IPSAS in circumstances other than as a consequence of the IPSASB issuing a new or amended IPSAS (as discussed further below in paragraph 30). In addition, the benefit of using IPSAS to the extent possible is that IPSAS are a suite of standards that comprise a coherent package. It also reduces standard-setting costs as the IPSASB documents are readily available for application in New Zealand with little additional work. Reducing the time spent on setting the base standards releases resources for working with the international standard setters and for necessary domestic projects.
17. In developing a coherent suite of PBE Standards, it will generally be relatively low cost to add additional guidance for all PBEs, or for sub-groups of PBEs such as NFP entities. However, it is expected that recognition and measurement requirements will be common to all PBEs. Further, using recognition and measurement requirements developed from a number of sources creates the potential for inconsistencies within the suite of PBE Standards, such as applying different measurement requirements to similar liabilities. Care should be taken to minimise the impact of such inconsistencies, if they cannot be eliminated.
18. At times, there is a tension between reducing the costs borne by preparers within mixed groups – that is the elimination of differences between PBE Standards and NZ IFRS that are not sector specific – and improving the suite of PBE Standards taken as a whole. This Policy takes the view that reducing the costs on preparers within mixed groups should be considered to the extent that these costs can be reduced whilst meeting the needs of the wider range of users of financial statements of public sector PBEs and NFP PBEs (including public sector and NFP groups) through a complete and coherent suite of PBE Standards.

⁴ For the purposes of the PBE Policy Approach, a mixed group is a PBE group that includes at least one material for-profit subsidiary where that for-profit subsidiary applies accounting policies that differ from those of the mixed group and that may need to be adjusted under the consolidation standards.

3. The Development Principle

19. In accordance with the *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework*, the primary purpose of developing the suite of PBE Standards is to better meet the needs of PBE user groups (as a whole). In considering whether to initiate a development, the NZASB shall consider the following factors:⁵
- (a) Whether the potential development will lead to higher quality financial reporting by public sector PBEs and NFP PBEs, including public sector PBE groups and NFP PBE groups, than would be the case if the development was not made; and
 - (b) Whether the benefits of a potential development will outweigh the costs, considering as a minimum:
 - (i) *relevance to the PBE sector as a whole*: for example, where the potential development arises from the issue of a new or amended IFRS Standard, whether the type and incidence of the affected transactions in the PBE sector are similar to the type and incidence of the transactions addressed in the change to the NZ IFRS;
 - (ii) *relevance to the NFP or public sector sub-sectors*: whether there are specific user needs in either of the sub-sectors, noting that IPSAS are developed to meet the needs of users of the financial reports of public sector entities;
 - (iii) *coherence*: the impact on the entire suite of PBE Standards (e.g. can the change be adopted without destroying the coherence of the suite);
 - (iv) *the impact on mixed groups*; and
 - (c) In the case of a potential development arising from the issue of a new or amended IFRS Standard that is relevant to PBEs, the IPSASB's likely response to the change (e.g. whether the IPSASB is expected to develop an IPSAS on the topic in an acceptable time frame).
20. The NZASB will need to exercise judgement in balancing the factors in the development principle on a case-by-case basis. In many cases, there will need to be a trade-off between the benefits of improvements in the quality of the resulting financial reports and the associated costs. This policy provides a basis for making such a trade-off decision; it cannot replace the application of judgement by the NZASB when applying the development principle.

⁵ In applying the development principle and rebuttable presumptions in this policy document, the NZASB will consider the costs and benefits of initiating a new development and the relevance of a topic to PBEs based on consultation with constituents.

4. Application of the Development Principle

21. The following sections are designed to assist with the application of the factors in the development principle on a case-by-case basis. They consider, in turn, potential developments of the suite of PBE Standards that might arise from developments in IPSAS and NZ IFRS as well as addressing issues that might arise within New Zealand. Although the PBE Policy Approach treats each of these developments separately, it is likely that specific developments will need to be considered from a number of perspectives. For example, the NZASB may have planned to continue to update PBE IAS 34 *Interim Financial Reporting* in line with developments of NZ IAS 34 *Interim Financial Reporting* to retain consistent interim reporting across all sectors (section 4.2). However, if the IPSASB were to issue a standard addressing interim reporting, this new IPSAS would be considered as a development resulting from an enhancement to IPSAS (section 4.1).

4.1 New or Amended IPSAS

22. There is a rebuttable presumption that the NZASB will adopt a new or amended IPSAS.

23. This rebuttable presumption is based on the expectation that the IPSASB's due process has considered the needs of the wide range of users of public sector financial statements in developing and issuing a new or amended IPSAS.⁶ Therefore, it is presumed that a new or amended IPSAS will lead to higher quality financial reporting by PBEs in New Zealand in accordance with factors (a) and (b) of the development principle, in the absence of reasons to the contrary (refer to paragraph 25).

Amending a new or amended IPSAS

24. Depending on the circumstances, it may be appropriate to amend a recently issued new or amended IPSAS in the process of adoption in New Zealand. Examples of possible amendments include:
- (a) improving the quality of the IPSAS in the New Zealand context by, for example, adding guidance or making changes to enhance the clarity and consistency of the requirements to enable public sector PBEs and NFP PBEs to apply the standard consistently;⁷
 - (b) adding guidance to assist NFP PBEs in applying the standard, given that the standard has been developed for application by public sector PBEs;
 - (c) amending as necessary to reduce any significant costs for mixed groups in the New Zealand context, to the extent that these costs can be reduced while

⁶ The rebuttable presumption is also based on the XRB's understanding of the IPSASB's strategic focus – that is, the development of high-quality financial reporting standards and guidance for the public sector.

⁷ For example, amendments of this nature may be necessary where the guidance in IPSAS does not fully address certain transactions that are prevalent for New Zealand PBEs.

still meeting the needs of users of PBE financial statements (see paragraph 18);⁸

- (d) amending as necessary to maintain the coherence of the suite of PBE Standards;
- (e) excluding options that are not relevant in the New Zealand context; or
- (f) amending the scope of an IPSAS if the IPSAS conflicts with a legislative requirement, or a legislative requirement addresses the same issue for public sector entities. However, in these circumstances, it may be appropriate to adopt the IPSAS for NFP PBEs.

Rebutting the presumption and not adopting a new or amended IPSAS

25. Depending on the circumstances, it may be appropriate to rebut the presumption in paragraph 22 and thereby not adopt a new or amended IPSAS, or part(s) thereof. Given that PBE Standards are based primarily on IPSAS, a decision to rebut the presumption is expected to occur only in exceptional circumstances. Examples of such circumstances include where the NZASB has significant concerns that, in the New Zealand context:
- (a) adoption of a new or amended IPSAS would not be either appropriate or relevant (based on the development principle); and
 - (b) the costs of adoption of a new or amended IPSAS would outweigh the benefits to users of PBE financial reports.⁹
26. In the event that the presumption to adopt a new or amended IPSAS is rebutted, this will require the NZASB to report to the XRB Board:
- (a) its decision and rationale for the decision, including reference to the relevant factors of the development principle; and
 - (b) what, if any, action(s) it plans to take in relation to the new or amended IPSAS, for example, whether a domestic standard will be developed and whether parts of the new or amended IPSAS will be incorporated into that domestic standard.

4.2 New or Amended NZ IFRS

27. The issuance of a new or amended NZ IFRS will require the NZASB to consider whether to initiate a development of the PBE Standards in the following circumstances:¹⁰

⁸ The significance of any costs to mixed groups will be assessed through constituent outreach activities and any amendments will be weighed up against other factors in the development principle.

⁹ As discussed in paragraphs 14–18 and giving consideration to the factors in the development principle, the primary benefit of a potential development to the suite of PBE Standards is to improve the information provided to users of PBE financial reports and to promote higher quality financial reporting by PBEs.

¹⁰ An amendment to an NZ IFRS can fall into more than one of the above categories, for example, an NZ IFRS on a new topic might also result in changes to other NZ IFRS that fall into category (a) and/or (c).

- (a) an IFRS Standard that the IPSASB has used as the basis for an IPSAS is changed;¹¹
- (b) the IASB issues an IFRS Standard on a new topic; and
- (c) there is a change to an NZ IFRS that has been used as the basis for a PBE Standard.¹²

4.2.1 *An IFRS Standard that the IPSASB has used as the basis for an IPSAS is changed*

28. As noted earlier, the PBE Standards are primarily based on IPSAS. In turn, many IPSAS are primarily based on IFRS Standards. Examples of such standards are PBE IPSAS 16 *Investment Property* and PBE IPSAS 17 *Property, Plant and Equipment*, which are based on IAS 40 *Investment Property* and IAS 16 *Property, Plant and Equipment*, respectively. Accordingly, there are likely to be many instances in which a new or amended NZ IFRS relates to a topic covered by an existing IPSAS standard that has been incorporated into the PBE Standards.
29. **In considering a change to an NZ IFRS that relates to a topic for which there is an existing PBE Standard based on an IPSAS, the NZASB will consider the factors in the development principle in determining whether to initiate a development of the PBE Standards. Particular emphasis in this case needs to be placed on the IPSASB’s likely response to the change, including whether the IPSASB is expected to address the change in an acceptable timeframe.**
30. Given the rebuttable presumption in paragraph 22 that any IPSAS issued by the IPSASB will be included in the PBE Standards, there are considerable potential costs and risks associated with “getting ahead of the IPSASB”. Therefore, the NZASB needs to decide whether to develop a PBE Standard ahead of the IPSASB or to wait for the IPSASB’s response. If the issue is already on the IPSASB’s active work plan, the NZASB would normally wait for the IPSASB to complete its work, unless the NZASB is of the view that there is an urgent need for action in New Zealand or the NZASB is of the view that the IPSAS is unlikely to be appropriate in the New Zealand context.
31. **Furthermore, in the case of limited-scope amendments or amendments to an NZ IFRS that the NZASB considers are minor, there is a rebuttable presumption that the change should not be incorporated into the equivalent PBE Standard in advance of the IPSASB considering the change.** This is because minor amendments are less likely to meet the cost-benefit test,

¹¹ This includes instances where an IFRS Standard that the IPSASB has used as the basis for an IPSAS has been superseded by a newly issued IFRS Standard.

¹² NZ IFRS that the NZASB has included in the suite of PBE Standards are:

- PBE IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* (subsequently superseded by PBE IPSAS 40 *PBE Combinations*)
- PBE IFRS 4 *Insurance Contracts* and PBE IFRS 17 *Insurance Contracts* (the latter applies to NFPs only)
- PBE IFRS 5 *Non-current Assets Held For Sale and Discontinued Operations*
- PBE IAS 12 *Income Taxes* (and amendments based on NZ IFRIC 23 *Uncertainty over Income Tax Treatments*)
- PBE IAS 34 *Interim Financial Reporting*
- NZ IFRIC 12 *Service Concession Arrangements* and NZ-SIC 29 *Service Concession Arrangements: Disclosures* (which are the basis for PBE FRS 45 *Service Concession Arrangements: Operator*).

particularly when the potential costs and risks associated with getting ahead of the IPSASB are taken into account. However, the NZASB may issue an exposure draft that proposes the incorporation of these minor amendments into the equivalent PBE Standards at the same time as the IPSASB issues an exposure draft that proposes the incorporation of these minor amendments into IPSAS.

32. Where there is a major change to an IFRS Standard for which there is an existing IPSAS and where the IPSASB is unlikely to address the change in an acceptable time frame, the NZASB could either develop a domestic modification to the PBE Standard or assist the IPSASB to develop an IPSAS. Options for assisting the IPSASB include offering to provide staff resources for the IPSASB or partnering with the IPSASB to update a specific IPSAS in the light of the major change. It may be more effective to assist the IPSASB because any uncertainties about the IPSASB's approach to the issue will be resolved sooner rather than later. However, the level of effort required to develop an IPSAS based on an IFRS Standard for international use is likely to be significantly higher than developing a PBE Standard based on an IFRS Standard or its equivalent NZ IFRS for use in New Zealand. The IPSASB's due process, multi-constituency reach and less regular meetings leads to a standards development process for the IPSASB that is more time consuming and complex.

4.2.2 *The IASB issues an IFRS Standard on a new topic*

33. An example of a new topic is where the IASB is considering issuing a standard on rate-regulated activities.
34. **When the IASB issues an IFRS Standard on a new topic and there is no IPSAS on that topic, there is a rebuttable presumption that the NZASB will not include the new IFRS Standard in the suite of PBE Standards, unless the topic is relevant to PBEs and the IPSASB is not expected to develop a new standard on the same topic in an acceptable timeframe.**
35. As noted below in paragraph 37, some NZ IFRS-based standards were included in the suite of PBE Standards when it was first developed. After the initial introduction of the suite of PBE Standards, the NZASB has applied the rebuttable presumption that an IFRS Standard on new topic where there is no IPSAS is not included in the suite of PBE Standards, as discussed above. This approach is consistent with the *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework*, which provides that IPSAS should be used as the primary basis for developing PBE Standards.
36. In considering whether to rebut the presumption that the NZASB will not include a new IFRS Standard in the suite of PBE Standards, the NZASB should:
 - (a) firstly, consider whether the new IFRS Standard is relevant to PBEs and if so, whether the IPSASB is expected to develop a new standard on the same topic in an acceptable timeframe; and
 - (b) secondly, consider other factors in the development principle to assess the costs and benefits of including the new IFRS Standard in the suite of PBE Standards.

4.2.3 An NZ IFRS that the NZASB has included in the suite of PBE Standards is changed

37. The NZASB has included selected NZ IFRS-based standards in the suite of PBE Standards (see footnote 12). These NZ IFRS-based standards were first added when the suite of PBE Standards was initially developed to maintain current practice for specific topics not addressed by IPSAS (for example, accounting for insurance contracts and interim reporting). Subsequently, additional NZ IFRS-based standards have been added to the suite of PBE Standards (for example, PBE IFRS 17 *Insurance Contracts*) when a new NZ IFRS standard addresses a topic that is relevant to PBEs and the IPSASB is not expected to develop a new standard on the same topic in an acceptable timeframe.
- 38. In considering a change to an NZ IFRS-based standard that is included in the suite of PBE Standards, the NZASB shall consider the factors in the development principle in determining whether to initiate a development of the PBE Standards.**
39. However, in situations where there is no equivalent IPSAS on the topic and the IPSASB is not expected to create such a standard in the foreseeable future, the IPSASB's likely response to the change would be less relevant. This will impact on the overall assessment of the costs and benefits of including the NZ IFRS development in the PBE Standards. This is because the potential problems associated with "getting ahead of the IPSASB" (as discussed in paragraph 30 above) are less likely to arise.
40. An implication of this policy is that those PBE Standards based on an NZ IFRS (see footnote 12) may need to be updated or replaced to align with the current equivalent NZ IFRS.

4.3 Domestic Developments

41. Domestic developments include developing standards or amendments to standards to meet specific requirements in New Zealand.
42. The suite of PBE Standards contains standards directly addressing issues relevant to New Zealand, including PBE FRS 42 *Prospective Financial Statements*, PBE FRS 43 *Summary Financial Statements* and PBE FRS 48 *Service Performance Reporting*. Further domestic standards may be developed where a need arises when an issue of importance in New Zealand is not addressed in a standard issued by the IPSASB (section 4.1) or the IASB (section 4.2).
- 43. In determining whether to initiate the development of a domestic standard for inclusion in the suite of PBE Standards, the NZASB will consider the factors in the development principle. Assuming the NZASB determines that the development of a domestic standard would improve the quality of financial reporting by PBEs, the NZASB will first consider whether there is an international pronouncement addressing the relevant issue that is applicable in the New Zealand context, or whether such an international pronouncement is expected to be developed within an acceptable timeframe.**

44. The *New Zealand Accounting Standards Framework* presumes that the NZASB will use international standards or guidance as a starting point for developing PBE Standards rather than developing domestic standards whenever possible, for a range of reasons, including:
- (a) the quality derived by an international due process;
 - (b) the prospect of international comparability; and
 - (c) the limited resources available for the domestic development of standards.
45. It follows that the NZASB will develop domestic standards or guidance that result in a material improvement in information available to users of financial statements when:
- (a) there is no other source of material available internationally; or
 - (b) the available international guidance is not targeted specifically towards addressing New Zealand issues.