Preliminary questions

Please provide your name *

Nicola Hankinson FCA

What is your role? *

Other Audit specialist (i.e., technical director, audit manager, audit senior, etc)

Please provide the name of the organisation that you are employed by, or affiliated with *

Baker Tilly Staples Rodway New Zealand

Please provide your email address *

nicola.hankinson@bakertillysr.nz

We propose New Zealand additions for when an entity uses a service organisation

Do you agree with our understanding and our view on the necessary amendments?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If you answered no to the above, please comment why

No answer provided.

Do you agree the proposals are appropriately scoped and proportionate?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If you answered no to the above, please comment why not and what changes do you suggest?

No answer provided.

Do you agree that the requirements and Essential Explanatory Material are clear and workable?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If you answered no to the above, please comment what changes would help improve clarity or application?

No answer provided.

Do you agree that the expected benefits of the proposed amendments, listed on page 7 of the consultation document, outweigh the implementation costs and other practical challenges?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If you answered no to the above, please comment why

No answer provided.

Are there any other benefits or costs that we should consider?

Thank you for your quick and sensible action on this

Do you agree with an application date of periods beginning on or after 1 March 2026?

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

If you answered no to the above, please comment why

No answer provided.

We propose to adopt international proposals to maintain the ISA for LCE

Do you agree that the expected benefits of the proposed amendments, in the IAASB exposure draft outweigh the implementation costs and other practical challenges, listed on page 10 of our consultation document?

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

If you answered no to the above, please comment why

No answer provided.

Are there any other benefits or costs that we should consider?

No answer provided.

Do you agree we should replace references from listed entity to publicly traded entities?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If you answered no to the above, please comment why

No answer provided.

Are there any compelling reason changes the XRB should make in New Zealand?

No answer provided.

IAASB's proposed revisions to the ISA for LCE

Questions related to the IAASB's Explanatory Memorandum for ED-ISA for LCE

Do you agree that ED-ISA for LCE appropriately addresses the **relevant public interest issues** related to the underlying ISA projects and satisfies the identified **qualitative characteristics of the Public Interest Framework**?
(*See Section I-A and the Appendix in the Explanatory Memorandum)*

- (●) Agree (with no further comments)
- ( ) Agree (with comments below)
- ( ) Disagree (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither agree nor disagree
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question. You are also invited to include as part of your response insights on the **implications or effects** of implementing the proposed revisions in ED-ISA for LCE.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part A**, *Authority of the ISA for LCE*.
(See Section I-B in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 1**, *Fundamental Concepts, General Principles and Overarching Requirements.*
(See Section I-C in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 2**, *Audit Evidence and Documentation* and **Part 3**, *Engagement Quality Management*.
(See Section I-D in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 5**, *Planning*.
(See Section I-E in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 6**, *Risk Identification and Assessment*. ***Responses related to Presumption of ROMMs Due to Fraud in Revenue Recognition (Part 6.4)***
(See Section I-F in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 6**, *Risk Identification and Assessment*. ***Other proposals in Part 6***
(See Section I-F in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 7**, *Responding to Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement*. **Responses related to Going Concern Section (Part 7.4)**
(See Section I-G in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 7**, *Responding to Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement*. **Other proposals in Part 7** *(See Section I-G in the Explanatory Memorandum)*

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 8**, *Concluding*.
(See Section I-H in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 9,** *Forming an Opinion and Reporting*.
(See Section I-I in the Explanatory Memorandum)

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Provide your views on the proposed revisions to **Part 10**, *Audits of Group Financial Statements* and **Appendices**.

- (●) Support the proposed revisions (with no further comments)
- ( ) Support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Do not support the proposed revisions (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither support/ not support (with comments below)
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views on whether the public interest issues identified in the underlying ISA projects are *relevant* to the ISA for LCE and, if so, whether they are addressed in a *proportionate* way.

No answer provided.

Do you agree with the **Maintenance Approach**? *(See Section I-J, paragraphs 72–76 in the Explanatory Memorandum)*

- (●) Agree (with no further comments)
- ( ) Agree (with comments below)
- ( ) Disagree (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither agree nor disagree
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question.
Please also share your views relating to the frequency and timing of maintenance projects and how the approach could be improved, including, as applicable, how to achieve the appropriate balance between the desire for stability between different versions of the standard and keeping the standard aligned with the core requirements and concepts of the ISAs.

No answer provided.

The IAASB believes that an appropriate implementation period would be approximately 18 months after the expected date of approval of the proposed revised standard. Do you agree that this will provide a sufficient period to support effective implementation of the proposed revisions to the standard?

- (●) Agree (with no further comments)
- ( ) Agree (with comments below)
- ( ) Disagree (with comments below)
- ( ) Neither agree nor disagree
- ( ) No response

Please add any comments in relation to your answer to the previous question. Also, if you disagree, what do you propose and why?

No answer provided.

Final Question

Do you have any other comments?

No answer provided.

Thank you

We thank you for your time spent completing this feedback form. Please press submit for your response to be recorded.
If you have any further comments or attachments, send them to assurance@xrb.govt.nz